

Trump Vow to Take on Global Establishment Followed by Promise of Support to Catholics

AS A RESULT OF DONALD TRUMP'S ACCUSATION of a conspiracy against the American people, he has come under fire from the Zionist Defense Team. Because Trump displayed some prominent Jewish faces in his final add before Tuesday's election, the Anti-Semitic Pro-Zionist "[Times of Israel](#)" (whose agents zealously scrutinized Trump's recent speech to discover veiled threats to expose and defeat a New Order conspiracy led by Zionists) has accused him of anti-Semitism . According to the Times:

"Philanthropist investor George Soros, Federal Reserve head Janet Yellen and Goldman Sachs CEO Lloyd Blankfein, all of whom are Jewish, appear onscreen as Trump inveighs against "levers of power in Washington" and "global special interests" – both considered anti-Semitic dog-whistles."

"The video (shown below) also intersperses images of the Clintons, President Barack Obama, Congress, foreign leaders and the United Nations. All these are juxtaposed with pictures of regular Americans, whom Trump urges to rise up."

Trump highlighted the primary aim of his running for president in a speech delivered on November 6, in which he articulated his commitment to

"...replace a failed and corrupt political establishment with a new government controlled by the American people"

According to to the network of associations manifest in the Trump add, a nefarious network controls the Federal Reserve and is trying to stop him from becoming president. Mr. Trump will draw intense ire from the Zionist establishment if he keeps up this diatribe, but he is likely to draw even more ire from the liberal Zionist camp for his support of the United State Bishops and the Catholic Church.

Catholics, Trump says, have made

"...countless contributions to the American success story."

Nonetheless Catholics in America, according to Trump, are victims of a triple hostility directed at them by neoliberal politicians:

"Washington politicians have been hostile to the church, they have been hostile to Catholics; they have been hostile to the members of Catholicism."

Trump promises therefore to

"...stand side by side with American Catholics to promote the values we all share as Christians and Americans."

On the other side of the fence, in the Clinton camp, we have a "CATHOLIC" VICE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WHO CANNOT QUITE SEEM TO FIGURE OUT THE INTERSECTION BETWEEN CONSCIENCE AND POLITICAL ACTION.

Consequently, Archbishop Joseph Naumann of Kansas City is perplexed by the old and worn our arguments made by the supposedly Catholic Kaine and the liberal cabal:

“It was painful to listen to Senator Kaine repeat the same tired and contorted reasoning to profess his personal opposition to abortion while justifying his commitment to keep it legal. He said all the usual made-for-modern-media sound bites: It is not proper to impose his religious beliefs upon all Americans. He trusts women to make good reproductive choices. And when all else fails, there is always: Do we really want to criminalize and fill our jails with post-abortive women?”

Kaine must be suffering from an acute case of character dysfunction – holding one set of beliefs in private but advancing another opposite set in public. Nearly everyone knows this game by now; basically Kaine is hiding behind a *public-private problem* that does *not* exist. No American ever denied a politician a right to fight for his or her core beliefs – every politician worth his or her salt does so. **The truth is Kaine is pro-abortion.** The test available to Christians struggling with this issue is simple: Jesus, the Head of the Church, clearly told his flock how to discern the truth in such matters. Such men as Kaine, Jesus tells us are suffering from a psychological malady of *self-deception*:

*“But be ye **doers** of the word, and **not hearers only**, **deceiving your own selves**. For if a man be a hearer of the word, and **not a doer**, he shall be compared to a man beholding his own countenance in a glass. For he beheld himself, and went his way, and presently **forgot what manner of man he was**. But he that hath looked into the perfect law of liberty, and hath continued therein, not becoming a forgetful hearer, but a doer of the work; this man shall be blessed in his deed”*
(James 1:22-25).

Jesus drove the point home by telling His disciples not to judge a man by his words but by his deeds; a tree is known by its fruits and Kaine’s fruits are rotten ergo, Kaine is

rotten.

“For there is no good tree that bringeth forth evil fruit; nor an evil tree that bringeth forth good fruit. For every tree is known by its fruit. For men do not gather figs from thorns; nor from a bramble bush do they gather the grape. A good man out of the good treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is good: and an evil man out of the evil treasure bringeth forth that which is evil” [\(Luke 6:43\)](#).

Thus, according to Archbishop Naumann:

“It is interesting that Senator Kaine expressed his personal anguish when as governor he enforced capital punishment sentences. He gave the impression that he attempted unsuccessfully to convince Virginians to abolish the death penalty. Yet, with regard to legalized abortion, I am not aware of Senator Kaine making a similar effort to convince his constituents to work for public policies that protect the lives of the unborn. Instead, he appears eager to champion not only maintaining the status quo, but actually expanding abortion rights.”

It is ironic, the Archbishop continues that

“Senator Kaine expressed such profound concern about imposing his religious beliefs on others, while supporting efforts:

1. *“To coerce the Little Sisters of the Poor and other faith-based ministries to violate their conscience by including abortifacients, contraceptives and sterilizations in their employee health plans;”*
2. *“To put small business owners (e.g., florists, bakers, photographers, etc.) out of business with crippling fines if they decline to participate in same-sex marriage ceremonies; and”*
3. *“To force every American taxpayer to help fund*

abortion.”

Kaine has no problem fighting *against* the Church but has real problem fighting *for* Her. The fact is, Kaine is not telling the truth; he is more concerned with gaining the world while putting his soul in peril. It is his game to play, but no one should be fooled any longer by this “worn out” banter. In fact, it is is painful to hear such a man eternally ruin himself for a moment of temporal glory.

Kaine is playing with fire, and the only one being fooled is Kaine himself (James 1: 22-25-as mentioned above). He is more than a wayward sheep, he is an impostor who is known by his fruits. He cannot be a good tree according to the judgement of God, because good trees do not bring forth evil fruit.

“Beware of false prophets, who come to you in the clothing of sheep, but inwardly they are ravening wolves. By their fruits you shall know them. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles? Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit, and the evil tree bringeth forth evil fruit. A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can an evil tree bring forth good fruit. Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit, shall be cut down, and shall be cast into the fire. Wherefore by their fruits you shall know them.”

Kaine can cry Christian and Catholic all he wants, God is not fooled nor are His true disciples:

“Not every one that saith to me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven: but he that doth the will of my Father who is in heaven, he shall enter into the kingdom of heaven. Many will say to me in that day: Lord, Lord, have not we prophesied in thy name, and cast out devils in thy name, and done many miracles in thy name? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, you that work iniquity.”

Perhaps if Kaine placed the law of God before the law of the state and the interpretation of the Church before the interpretation of the Supreme Court, he might not be in such a heinous quandary. He can fool himself, but he does not fool the Church or the followers of Christ.

Trump, on the other hand, concludes his exposition of the liberal world order by acknowledging that:

“It is the corrupt American political establishment that is the greatest power behind the effort at radical globalization” (at 4:18 in the video below).

In speaking of Clinton and the New Order cabal behind her, Trump makes perhaps his most insightful statement:

“Most importantly, the depths of their immorality is absolutely unlimited.” (5:06).

Ms. Clinton has a much different attitude about Catholics and Christians and morality than Mr. Trump. According to Ms. Clinton:

“Deep seated cultural codes, religious beliefs and structural biases have to be changed ([presumably through a Catholic or Christian Spring](#)).”

“I want a supreme court that will stick with Roe v Wade and that will stick with marriage equality (same sex marriages).”

“Donald”, she says, “has put forth some names of people he has considered (for supreme court) and among the names he has suggested are people who would reverse Roe v Wade and reverse marriage equality.”

Given the current state of foreign, domestic, and moral affairs, if Clinton becomes president it can be expected that any church that violates these sacred liberal values will

be punished as were those private businesses that opposed same sex marriage. George Soros, a major contributor to the Clinton campaign and to the neoliberal world agenda, will flourish under a Clinton regime. Clinton has promised to abolish the Hyde Amendment, which blocks government funding from killing children in their mother's wombs. On top of all this, she wants to [exacerbate relations with Russia by imposing a no-fly zone in Syria](#), which according to leading analysts in the Armed Service Committee would "require us to go to war with Syria and Russia." Too bad, the Russians have already beat Hilary to the game and are helping to *avert war and to help Christians in Syria and elsewhere:*

"The tables are now turned. Now the supposed protectors of innocent Syrians—the US and her coalition partners—will need to ask permission to fly their planes over Syria because they would be flying in [the new, Russian, multi-layered, missile shield known as the "Integrated Air Defense System."](#)"

"[Russia has beaten the United States to a key strategy in Syria with its new ability to create a no-fly zone](#), delivered by a new S-300 surface-to-air missile system, according to military analysts."

Under Hilary the State Department engaged in a global affront that has failed almost everywhere it has been implemented from the [Philippines](#) to Poland, from [Hungary](#) to [Malaysia](#) and into the [continent of Africa](#). Liberalism is failing as a global foreign agenda. Trump, being aware of this, has decided to work with sovereign nations as respected equals, to uphold the rights of Christians, to lessen hostility against them, and to counter the liberal World Order machine. For these things, he has come under fire from the Zionist Defense Team who do nothing to hide their disdain for Christians who hold to the truths of the faith and will not accept the new order the cabal is trying to impose by using people like Secretary Clinton. They are all speaking well of Ms. Hilary and

vilifying Trump. Again, Christ has left His disciples wisdom by which they can discern what is going on here:

“Woe to you when men shall bless you: for according to these things did their fathers to the false prophets” [\(Luke 6:26\)](#).

But

“If also you suffer any thing for justice’ sake, blessed are ye” [\(1 Peter 3:14\)](#).

If Hilary is elected, Assistant Secretary Nuland’s” [“FU” to the European Union](#) will become FU to Russia and the world will creep toward war rather than peace. This is why New Era Intelligence is forecasting a Trump victory and reconciliation with Russia to help usher in an *Era of Peace* or a Clinton victory and humiliation for the United States if it continues to advance a liberal agenda in defiance of God’s laws.

Word of the development comes in a report from [Reuters](#), which cited its own analysis of “publicly available tracking data.”

Read [more](#) at <http://www.wnd.com/2016/10/russia-beats-u-s-to-punch-on-syria-no-fly-zone/#8qxolv8kp0zIFYaI.99>

**Time for Church in America to
Pay Taxes and Give to God
What Belongs to God?**

FOR DECADES US BISHOPS AND PASTORS have celebrated American democracy, tolerance, and religious freedom, and have rightly shown their good will by refraining from political critique in a spirit of reconciliation and peace – it is American tolerance after all that permitted the church to grow in a non-Catholic nation often hostile to its being here. Thanks to America's respect for rights and liberties extended to all people, races, creeds and faiths, the church has experienced significant growth including the expansion of Catholic schools colleges and universities unmatched anywhere else in the world.

American Catholic integration and acceptance has led to a broad scale acceptance of Catholics as a warf in the American fabric; we now have a Catholic Vice-President and minority leader of the House of Representatives as well as a Vice Presidential candidate and former Speaker of the House and several justices serving on the supreme court etc. etc. The church, however, has paid quite a price for its acceptance: Out of thankfulness, respect and reciprocity for the gift religious freedom, the church has often acquiesced and remained silent to political machinations that run contrary to the sacred deposit of faith.

It is one thing to embrace error out of love and thankfulness for all the good showered upon the church by this nation and another to embrace error with false-love motivated by a spirit of fear or unwillingness to offend the feelings of our host when its political leaders smear the Catholic Church from the mountains to the oceans because they have been good to us in the past – what have you done for us lately?

American Catholics would be poor citizens and poor human beings if they failed in their affection for the homeland, if they failed in thankfulness and gratitude. However, no matter how much respect, reverence and gratitude is owed to this country, much more is owed to God who died for all of us. It is clear *America did not die for Catholics*, but

Catholics have died for America (in every war in which the nation has been entangled). Jesus Christ, however, the second Person of the Holy Trinity, did give His life out of love for His church –His love is simply greater than any love America might have showered on its faithful Catholics.

Thus, when a conflict arises between God's Law and America's Law, it is clear where our first loyalties belong – not only Catholics but all Christians and men and women of good will. No American should be perplexed by this idea; it is enshrined in [Article Six of the US Constitution](#), the "Supremacy Clause" clearly states that some laws are superior to others; in this case, the *federal laws are superior to state laws*. Similarly, *God's laws are superior to federal laws* and when they conflict, God's laws must be upheld as superior. If we fail in this regard, we fail in our love of God and take the first step on the long road to divinizing the state as many Americans have often done.

To be very clear, the American Catholic response to its American host has been cordial, proper, and polite – the correct response. However, when the host seeks "legally" to silence the Church, to refuse it a political voice, to bound and gag her, it steps beyond its role of gracious host and begins to act the part of a tyrant contrary to its own professed love of democracy, human dignity and religious freedom. The issue being introduced here is *not* one of friendliness, cordiality and thankfulness, which we owe to America; it is one of fidelity to God that America has sought to bound and gag through use of its taxing power

Every bishop, pastor and clergyman , is the beneficiary of an IRS promise not to tax the collection basket if and only if, all church personnel, *esp.* bishops and pastors, refrain from making political comments, critiques, advocacy or statements contrary to prevailing law. The Catholic church has accepted this imposed limit because of the amount of wealth that is protected from tax confiscation. To be hypothetical, if the

church is gifted with \$100 million a year in offerings from the faithful at least \$ 20 million is saved from the tax roles and put to work on Catholic projects: social care, health care, missionary work, education, catechesis, and ministries of all sorts. This is a practical consideration but not always a wise one.

Short History on Tax Exempt Status as a 501 c3 Organization

Registering a church as a 501 c3 tax exempt organization did not begin until 1954 when Senator Lyndon B. Johnson (a proponent of the anti-Christian liberal agenda) pushed for the addition of churches to section 501c3 of the 1954 Tax Code. In this way, Johnson hoped to stealthily reduce the impact of the US Bishops and Protestant church leaders on public policy. Although church leaders have tended to view this political move by Johnson as some type of favor; like all political favors, it has come with significant strings attached. but

“For a 501 c3 church to openly speak out, or organize in opposition to, anything that the government declares ‘legal,’ even if it is immoral (e.g. abortion, homosexuality, same sex marriages, etc.), that church will jeopardize its tax exempt status. The 501 c3 has had a ‘chilling effect’ upon the free speech rights of the church. LBJ was a shrewd and cunning politician who seemed to well-appreciate how easily many of the clergy would sell out.” Peter Kershaw, In Caesar’s Grip

Tax exempt status has become a sacred shibboleth, but does the church have to seek permission from the government to be tax exempt? Prior to the 1954 addition of churches to the tax code, churches were already exempt; *churches were never taxed in America.*

To be eligible for tax exemption a corporation must submit IRS Form 1023, but some corporations are excused from this requirement. According to [IRS Publication 557](#), churches are

“exempt automatically.”

*“Churches, interchurch organizations of local units of a church, conventions or associations of churches, or integrated auxiliaries of a church, such as a men’s or women’s organization, religious school, mission society, or youth group.... These organizations are **exempt automatically** if they meet the requirements of section 501(c)(3)” ([IRS Publication 557](#)).*

The churches did not need the 1954 exemption to excuse them – they were already exempt. The 1954 code has worked to bind them under the guise of a favor.

The church, moreover, does not need a “501c3 tax-exempt recognition letter” from the IRS for a contribution from a donor to be tax deductible. According to [IRS Publication 526](#):

“You can deduct your contributions only if you make them to a qualified organization. Most organizations, other than churches and governments, must apply to the IRS to become a qualified organization.”

“A church does not have to be a “nonprofit charitable organization” to be tax deductible, nor does it need IRS authorization to be tax deductible. According to the IRS, [churches have that status “automatically.”](#)”

This idea extends far back into human history. As early as 460 BC Artaxerxes, King of Persia, decreed that the priests of the Lord should not be taxed:

“Also we certify you, that touching any of the priests and Levites, singers, porters, Nethinims, or ministers of this house of God, it shall not be lawful to impose toll, tribute, or custom, upon them” (Ezra 7:24).

Many bishops, pastors and clergy have accepted government bestowal of tax exemption upon them as if the

government somehow possesses a divine mandate to tax the church; and as such have interpreted the 501 c3 exemption as a gift, which somehow justifies their failure to speak out about political issues because to question the liberal agenda would entail a loss of their tax exempt status. This ruse has gone far enough. It is clear that the inducement to save collection money from the bite of taxes is not a valid argument, but often used (though not always) as an excuse for lack of proper zeal under the guise of episcopal prudence.

“What will it profit a man if he gains the whole world and forfeits his soul” [\(Mark 8:36\)](#)?

Mark is advocating courage to live the faith, the kind of courage necessary to forego saved tax dollars and to advance the cause of the soul – the leadership we expect and now need from our bishops.

Money is not a valid reason to bind the moral and spiritual tongue of the Church. [“No one can love both God and money”](#)

First, Jesus did tell us to “Render therefore to Caesar the things that are Caesar’s” [\(Mark 12:17\)](#). The verse continues; Jesus also told us to render to God “the things that are God’s.” Clearly, there is a distinction between God and Caesar, between the Church and the state. At no time did Jesus subordinate the church to the state. What is owed to each is different. *We are not to render to the state things that belong to God!*

Since the state does not possess authority over the church, the church does not have to apply for exemption from income taxes, something it did not do until 1954 in a cunning move by the state to gain control over the church.

Jesus instructs us to give to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to God what belongs to God. Money, clearly belongs to the state – it has the name of the state printed on it –it is issued by the state and legal tender of the state; therefore it belongs to the state and the church should gladly give it to the state. The truth in love however belongs to God, and

the Church owes this debt to its Lord. When we fail, to speak the truth in love in the Lord's name, we fail in our responsibility toward God and neighbor.

Only a "sovereign" power, such as the state, possesses authority to impose a tax. This power however extends only to the citizens or subjects of the sovereign. The state is sovereign over the polity but it is *not* sovereign over the church of which Christ is the Head. Therefore, the state does not possess authority to tax the Church (as Jesus indicated to Peter). **If the state did possess the authority to tax the church, the church would be subordinate to the state rather than to Christ.** Nonetheless, Christ instructs Peter to pay taxes imposed by the state *not* out of legal necessity but voluntarily for conscience sake to avoid scandal:

Let no man seek his own, but that which is another's. Whatsoever is sold in the shambles, eat; asking no question for conscience' sake. The earth is the Lord's, and the fulness thereof. If any of them that believe not, invite you, and you will be willing to go; eat of any thing that is set before you, asking no question for conscience' sake" (1 Corinthians 10:24-28).

Thus when Peter was asked to pay the temple tax, Jesus told him that he was exempt but to pay it nonetheless for the sake of his neighbor's conscience and to avoid scandal.

And when they were come to Capharnaum, they that received the didrachmas, came to Peter and said to him: Doth not your master pay the didrachmas? He said: Yes.

When he came into the house, before he had time to speak, Jesus asked him, "What is your opinion, Simon? From whom do the kings of the earth take tolls or census tax? From their subjects or from foreigners?" When he said, "From

foreigners,” Jesus said to him, “Then the subjects are exempt. But that we may not offend them, go to the sea, drop in a hook, and take the first fish that comes up. Open its mouth and you will find a coin worth twice the temple tax. Give that to them for me and for you” (Matthew 17:24-27).

Clearly, there is a distinction being made among sons, subjects and foreigners. The tax was for the Temple of Yahweh, the Father of Jesus. Jesus being the Son of the Father is exempt as are the subjects of His Kingdom. Nonetheless, Jesus instructs Peter to pay double the tax (see note a below), not just for himself but also for Christ, the King of kings. This example is to serve as a standard for the conduct of the church in paying taxes imposed by the state.

Simply stated, the church should pay its taxes even though exempt because that is what Jesus did and what he instructed Peter to do.

This reasoning is all the more binding because not paying taxes has bridled the church and its ability to teach, condemn when necessary, correct erring politicians, and to take a clear stand providing direction in presidential and other elections. The result is scandal among the faithful – the scandal that Jesus warned Peter to avoid by paying the temple tax. The US Income tax is imposed by law; it has nothing to do with works of God. 501 c3 corporations are not excused from the tax because they do works of God but because they engage in charitable works recognized by the government. The government does not engage in building the kingdom of God; all of its taxes are for secular purposes. Thus there is nothing that the government does which the church should be excused from. The 501 c3 exemption is offered by the state to those who qualify. The price, however, for accepting the exemption is silence about political matters. The church does not owe the state silence in the face of moral aberrations or spiritual dangers, but it does as the “pillar and support of truth” ([1 Timothy 3:15](#)) owe fidelity to the truth and the

laws of God, the author of truth. In a sense, the federal income tax exemption is a type of bribe to bind the mouth of the church. It is not mandatory; the church does *not* have to accept it. By rejecting the inducement, the church would lose money but would also be free to speak the truth as it should and not be chained by its desire or need for money.

Beside the story about Peter and the fish show us Jesus miraculously supplying His Church with the money it needs to pay the tax.

A colonial, preacher by the name of [Isaac Backus \(September of 1775\) understood the issue](#) and subsequently preached a sermon to the Massachusetts Assembly wherein he indicated that tax exemption is not a favor granted by the government.

“Yet, as we are persuaded that an entire freedom from being taxed by civil rulers to religious worship is not a mere favor from any man or men in the world but a right and property granted us by God, who commands us to stand fast in it, we have not only the same reason to refuse an acknowledgment of such a taxing power here, as America has the abovesaid power, but also, according to our present light, we should wrong our consciences in allowing that power to men, which we believe belongs only to God.”

Admonishing the sinner is a spiritual work of mercy, as is the mandate to counsel the doubtful, such as counseling the many Catholics who are unsure which way to turn. These are works for which failure we will be judged. The episcopal argument about losing tax exemption is not one made by Jesus, the “Good Shepherd”. Since it has become increasingly clear that the debt Catholics owe to America for its offering the church freedom and room to grow *does not extend* to abdication of the faith when it is so overtly challenged, ridiculed, belittled and smeared in the public arena by a state which has chosen an LGBT liberal agenda that flies in the face of God, it is now time for the bishop and clergy to stand in faith

and voice the Gospel in truth and love so that the flock will follow them into green pastures.

NOTE

a) The “stater” is a Greek coin worth two double drachmas. Two double drachmas were the equivalent of a Jewish shekel (the tax was a half-shekel).

Clinton Warhawk for Global Liberal Agenda Trump Agent of Reconciliation and Peace

REPUBLICAN SUPPORT FOR interventionist foreign policy is so common place it is assumed that any Neocon Republican candidate for president must be a war hawk and for good reason: Following the demise of the Soviet Union, Republican think tanks, such as the American Enterprise Institute, crafted the “Project for the New American Century” to spread America’s economic-political-social agenda around the globe as if a victory over the Soviet Union signaled the moment for American hegemony even if it was unwanted by many third world countries in Africa and Asia and newly developing nations in Eastern Europe.

Since that time things have changed considerably. Surprise, it is not Trump, but the Democratic candidate and former Secretary of State Hilary Clinton who has the support of Republican Neocons from the Enterprise Institute (*et al*) who relish her hawkish foreign policy, her ideas of American exceptionalism, and her desire to spread liberalism (esp.

political and moral liberalism and American economic interests) abroad while opposing any nation that stands in the way.

The real problem facing the world today is the [“Neoconservative desire to make sure the United States is the lone guarantor of the geopolitical order. This is about Pax Americana. This is about resurrecting the faded dream of a new American century”](#) and it is being spearheaded *not* by the Republican candidate but by Hilary Clinton who is using it to “advance” her liberal agenda around the globe. Quite simply, [Hilary is on the Neocon team](#). According to [CNN](#), National Security Adviser Brent Scowcroft has already endorsed Clinton and it is strongly rumored that even [George H.W. Bush is voting for her](#). The [Neocon war hawks want Hilary](#).

Barbara Nuland, the Assistant Secretary of State suffering from a severe case of “Hawk Fever”, recently told the European Union to “F**k off” because it was seeking a peaceful resolution to the Ukrainian crisis.

According to BBC diplomatic correspondent Jonathan Marcus:

“The EU is divided and to some extent hesitant about picking a fight with Moscow. It certainly cannot win a short-term battle for Ukraine’s affections with Moscow – it just does not have the cash inducements available. The EU has sought to play a longer game; banking on its attraction over time. But the US clearly is determined to take a much more activist role.” So [“F**k the EU”](#)

Nuland’s husband, the leading Neocon [Robert Kagan, along with a host of other Republican Neocons, is backing Hilary](#). Speaking at a [“foreign policy professionals fundraiser for Hillary”](#) Kagan (co-founder of the neoconservative think tank Project for the New American Century) stated:

“I would say all Republican foreign policy professionals are

anti-Trump...I would say that a majority of people in my circle will vote for Hillary."

Nothing shouts Secretary Clinton's status as an extreme war hawk more than the neoconservative propaganda periodical, *Weekly Standard*, which celebrated Clinton's appointment as secretary of state as a victory, *not for the left*, but for the right, lauding her metamorphosis from "First Feminist" to "[Warrior Queen](#) who has become the "[Great Right Hope.](#)"

"As for the conservatives, many of those who began 2008 willing to do anything to defeat her tended to end it feeling sorry she lost (to Obama in the Democratic Primary). They began to tell themselves and each other they would sleep better at night if she were the nominee of her party" ([CBS News](#)).

According to [conservative correspondent Noemie Emery](#), Clinton, had "begun the campaign as the former First Feminist" and "ended it as the Warrior Queen, more Margaret Thatcher than Gloria Steinem."

In short, Ms. Hilary is speaking Neocon babble while Donald Trump appears to be the candidate that will promote global peace and "bring the boys home".

Speaking ahead of a major foreign policy address in front of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee earlier this year, Trump stated,

"I do think it's a different world today, and [I don't think](#)

we should be nation-building anymore,” Trump said. “I think it’s proven not to work, and we have a different country than we did then. We have \$19 trillion in debt. We’re sitting, probably, on a bubble. And it’s a bubble that if it breaks, it’s going to be very nasty. I just think we have to rebuild our country.”

Donald Trump has also spoken of the Iraqi War as a mistake and accused George W. Bush of prevarication necessary for him to drag the US into the Iraqi conflict.

Trump is also “harshly critical” of John F. Kerry the current Secretary of State and has “questioned the United States’ continued involvement in NATO.” Along these lines, Mr. Trump has indicated that he seeks to remain neutral in relations with Israel. He also told the Washington Post editorial board that he would reduce expenditures on NATO, consider closing American bases abroad and adopt an “unabashedly non-interventionist approach to world affairs.”

According to the Post:

In spite of unrest abroad, Trump advocates a light footprint in the world, especially in the Middle East. Trump said the United States must look inward and steer its resources toward rebuilding domestic infrastructure.”

Trump opposes the current American Foreign Policy of global intervention, while Clinton supports it with a fury:

Thus, according to the CBS News:

“For the moment, Hillary Clinton will be the conservatives’ Woman in Washington, more attuned to their concerns on these issues than to those of the get-the-troops-home-now wing of her party, a strange turn of events.”

Branko Marcetic writing for "These Times" summed the situation up well:

It's not just Neocons specifically. War hawks of all stripes have been happy to shower praise on Clinton's foreign policy. In 2011, Lindsey Graham [told](#) the Council on Foreign Relations: "This is an outstanding national security team put together by President Obama. I hope he will listen to them. Secretary Clinton is a great choice to be our secretary of state."

"Any time Lindsey Graham, who eagerly supported the Iraq War and has [repeatedly called](#) for a [war with Iran](#), endorses your national security team, it should stop and give you pause. Then again, given that Clinton [threatened](#) to "totally obliterate" Iran in 2008, perhaps it shouldn't be surprising."

Earlier this year, Clinton demonstrated why such a broad array of Neocons and Republican war hawks have been quick to support her. In a carefully planned speech before the American Israel Public Affairs Committee's (AIPAC), Clinton affirmed her adamant loyalty to the Zionist state of Israel and promised military intervention in Iran if necessary.

"Palestinian and human rights advocates were appalled by her remarks to AIPAC (March, 2016) saying that her speech represented ['everything that is bad' with U.S. imperialism and policy in the Middle East.'](#)"

Clinton loves to rattle her saber and enhance that of Israel.

"As president, I will make a firm commitment to ensure Israel maintains its qualitative military edge... "The United States should provide Israel with the most sophisticated defense technology so it can deter and stop any threats. That includes bolstering Israeli missile defenses with new systems

like the Arrow Three and David's Sling. And we should work together to develop better tunnel detection, technology to prevent armed smuggling, kidnapping and terrorist attacks."

[Rebecca Vilkomerson](#), Executive Director of Jewish Voice for Peace, said the AIPAC convention "is a reminder of the current limits of the mainstream discourse on Israel, which rely on racist and Islamophobic tropes to justify unquestioning support for Israel."

"From Democrats to Republicans, the message is the same: "More arms for Israel, a stronger relationship between Israel and the U.S., no mention of Palestinian rights, and no recognition of the impossible contradiction of being both democratic and Jewish when the state is predicated on maintaining systems of unequal rights and rule by military occupation."

View full Clinton Speech before AIPAC

Donald Trump Going in a Different Direction than the Neocons

Donald Trump does not appear to be a member of the Neocon-Neoliberal establishment that created the Taliban, Al Qaeda and ISIS in the first place. Strangely, neither Bush Clinton, nor Obama have been willing to team up with Russia to destroy the terrorists. The Neocons have flouted international law by waging unauthorized wars for decade after decade and have achieved very little in the way of positive results. Russia, on the other hand, was invited by Syria to assist it against the terrorists. Russia has achieved in a few months what NATO and the US have been unable to achieve in years. Their presence in Syria has sent the terrorists fleeing; they are now trapped inside of Aleppo. Unless NATO assists them, overtly or covertly, they will soon fall in Syria.

It seems to make sense that the United States and Russia would

ally to defeat terrorism around the globe, but Obama, Clinton and the Neocon crowd seem bent against it to the chagrin of candidate Trump who cannot understand their reluctance to team up with Russia to defeat terrorism.

Forced to think about it, Trump, it appears is getting closer to figuring it out. In August (2016) he unleashed charges that [President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton permitted the rise of the Islamic State](#). Trump then rationally proposed alliances with Russia, in a campaign to destroy the terror group.

“In many respects, you know, they (Muslims) honor President Obama,” Trump said. [“He is the founder of ISIS.”](#)”

Trump often speaks off of the cuff and is later forced to clarify his statements. Given an opportunity to clarify the above statement Trump instead amplified it:

“[He \(Obama\) was the founder, absolutely the founder,](#)” Trump said on CNBC. “In fact he gets the – in sports, they have awards. He gets the most valuable player award.” (See also the [Washington Post](#) – [CBS News](#) – and [The Huffington Post](#)).

Trump drew a loud round of applause at Youngstown State University when he bellowed that U.S. “nation building” in the Mideast and elsewhere would come to an end in a Trump administration.

“It is now time for a new approach. Our current strategy of nation-building and regime change have been a total disaster – Instead, all we got from Iraq and our ventures in the Middle East, was death, destruction and tremendous financial loss. But it’s time to put the mistakes of the past behind us and chart a new course...If I become president, the era of nation-building will be brought to a swift close” ([CNN Transcripts](#))

“Our new approach must be to halt the spread of radical Islam...All actions should be oriented around this goal and any country which shares this goal will be our ally. We cannot always choose our friends but we can never fail to recognize our enemies.”

The neoliberal global agenda has not made the world a safer place but a much more dangerous one. Prior to Obama’s taking office in 2009, Trump pointed out,

“Libya was stable, Syria was under control, Egypt was ruled by a secular president and ally to the U.S., Iraq was experiencing a decrease in violence and Iran was being choked off by economic sanctions.”

American foreign policy is in disarray – nothing seems to be working. As Trump says, “It’s time to put the mistakes of the past behind us and chart a new course.” If the United States and Russia form a genuine alliance against terrorism, the terrorists will be defeated and the world can know peace. New Era forecasts that this will happen: A Trump victory and reconciliation with Russia to help usher in an Era of Peace or a Clinton victory and humiliation for the United States if it continues to advance a liberal agenda in defiance of God’s laws.

Kenya Withdraws its Troops from UN Sponsored Mission

KENYA ANNOUNCED THE WITHDRAW of its 1,000 troops from the

United Nations sponsored mission in South Sudan. This comes days after the Kenyan Commander, Lt. Gen. Johnson Mogoia Kimani Ondieki, was released because he was so incensed by UN treatment of civilians that he released a report detailing the failure of UN peacekeepers to defend civilians and humanitarian aid workers when they were attacked by radicals in Juba (capital of South Sudan) last July.

In South Sudan 40% of the population is Catholic, with approximately 6.5 million Catholics out of a total population of 16.7 million.

According to Kenyan officials, following an independent investigation appointed by U.N. Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon Lt. Gen Johnson was dismissed:

“The investigation was instigated and demanded by certain current and future members of the United Nations Security Council with vested interests in the political positions to protect in the contexts of what transpired in Southern Sudan during that particular incident that was under investigation,” [Ambassador Kamau said.](#)

Russian Brokered Cease Fire Begins in Aleppo

A CEASEFIRE BROKERED BY RUSSIA between government backed troops fighting for their nation and jihadist rebels in rebel held areas of Aleppo was put into effect today (Nov. 4). The Russians are facilitating the release of innocent civilians

and have provided several corridors by which they can exit the war torn area safely before action against the rebels resumes.