Church has Taken Tougher Stance on Medjugorje Waiting Final Pronouncement

New Era World News

IN THE FALL OF 2015 Pope Francis, during a return flight form Sarajevo, told reporters that the Church was "close to coming to a decision" regarding its investigation of Medjugorje. The two bishops who have been the only Ordinaries in the Diocese of Mostar where the apparitions began have both made an unfavorable judgement about the supernatural events purported to have occurred there. Bishop Ratko Perić, the current bishop of Mostar-Duvno, holds the same negative position toward Medjugorje as his predecessor Bishop Žanić, who was bishop when Mary allegedly began appearing in 1981. Bishop Peric has not only evaluated the supposed apparitions as false, he has also denigrated them as a "religious show" and "spectaculum mundo" (Belaj, Marijana (2012). Bishop Perić also composed a personal letter in which he stated that nothing supernatural was occurring in Medjugorje.

However, The Bishop of Mostar has not been in charge of issue since 1986. In that year, Cardinal Ratzinger, acting as Prefect for the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of Faith (CDF), relieved Bishop Zanic of the burden and placed it in the hands of the Yugoslavian Bishops Conference. which, since the break-up of Yugoslavia, has become the Episcopal Conference of Bosnia-Herzegovina.

Thereafter, Archbishop Tarcisio Bertone, Secretary of the Congregation for the CDF, issued a clarification in which he stated that although both Bishops Zanic and Peric have ruled against the supernatural nature of the events, the issue had been handed off to the Yugoslavian Bishop's Conference and therefore "what Bishop Perić said in his

letter ... is and remains his personal opinion." Archbishop
Bertone stated:

"The main thing I would like to point out is that the Holy See does not ordinarily take a position of its own regarding supposed supernatural phenomena as a court of first instance. As for the credibility of the "apparitions" in question, this Dicastery respects what was decided by the bishops of the former Yugoslavia in the Declaration of Zadar, April 10, 1991: "On the basis of the investigation so far, it can not be affirmed that one is dealing with supernatural apparitions and revelations." Since the division of Yugoslavia into different independent nations it would now pertain to the members of the Episcopal Conference of Bosnia-Herzegovina to perhaps reopen the examination of this case, and to make any new pronouncements that might be called for.

Therefore,

"What Bishop Peric said in his letter to the Secretary General of Famille Chretienne, declaring: "My conviction and my position is not only 'non constat de supernaturalitate', but likewise, 'constat de non supernaturalitate' of the apparitions or revelations in Medjugorje," should be considered the expression of the personal conviction of the Bishop of Mostar which he has the right to express as Ordinary of the place, but which is and remains his personal opinion."

In 1991 the Yugoslavian Bishop's Conference issued binding quidelines including a statement that:

"It cannot be affirmed that these matters concern supernatural apparitions or revelations."

Among its guidelines was one that forbids "official diocesan" and "parish pilgrimages" to Medjugorje; however, it does

permit priests to accompany groups of Catholics to provide the sacraments and spiritual direction.

Likewise, <u>Archbishop Bertone</u> made it clear the pilgrims could go to Medjugorje but NOT if the trip was promoted as a pilgrimage or journey to a place of authentic Marina apparitions.

"Official pilgrimages to Medjugorje, understood as a place of authentic Marian apparitions, are not permitted to be organized either on the parish or on the diocesan level, because that would be in contradiction to what the Bishops of former Yugoslavia affirmed in their fore mentioned Declaration."

Thus, although pilgrimages are permitted, Medjugorje cannot be promoted as "a place of authentic Marian apparitions."

Then on October 21, 2013 at the request of Cardinal Muller (current Prefect of the CDF under Pope Francis), Archbishop Carlo Maria Vigano, Apostolic Nuncio to the United States forwarded a <u>letter</u> regarding Medjugorje and Medjugorian seer Ivan Dragicevic, to Msgr. Ron Jenkins, Secretary of the United States Council of Catholic Bishops (USCCB). The letter conveyed the Nunicio's "wishes to:

"...inform the (US) Bishops that one of the so-called visionaries of Medjogorje [sic], Mr. Ivan Dragicevic, is scheduled to appear at certain parishes around the country, during which time he will make presentations regarding the phenomenon of Medjogorje."

"It is anticipated, moreover, that Mr. Dragicevic will be receiving 'apparitions' during these scheduled appearances."

The expectation of Marian visitations at the

prompting/scheduling of Ivan Dragicevic was problematic: The entire issue is still undergoing scrutiny by the the Bosnian Bishop's Conference in cooperation with the Nevertheless, devotees continue to gather around the seers who undertake international journeys to promote the message while continuing to receive new messages. Many, as those preparing to attend Ivan's appearances, presume that the Virgin Mary is going to appear (on schedule). This is an issue in itself: The Fatima children did not know when Our Lady would appear, they knew the date but not the time - the August, 19 appearance was unannounced. It is an issue for the CDF for other reasons as well, primarily its acceptance of the 1991 Yugoslavian Bishop's pronouncement that stated:

"On the basis of the research that has been done, it is not possible to state that there were apparitions or supernatural revelations....It follows, therefore, that clerics and the faithful are not permitted to participate in meetings, conferences or public celebrations during which the credibility of such 'apparitions' are taken for granted."

In other words, the issue is still under scrutiny but both the seers and the public often accept the credibility of the apparitions as taken for granted, when they are not. To be so, Ivan (et al) would have to preface his engagements with statements such as the following: The Virgin Mary might be appearing at Medjugorje and if she appears here tonight, the whole thing might be a fabrication, or a ruse, or due to my own mental incapacity or for a profit motive; these things cannot be discounted nor can anything I say or experience be taken for granted as true; I might be a fraud — we will not know until the Church has finalized its investigation.

Specifically, the <u>Nuncio's letter states</u>:

"The Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith is in the process of investigating certain doctrinal and disciplinary

aspects of the phenomenon of Medjugorje....With regard to the credibility of the "apparitions" in question, all should accept the declaration, dated 10 April 1991, from the Bishops of the former Republic of Yugoslavia, which asserts:

"On the basis of the research that has been done, it is not possible to state that there were apparitions or supernatural revelations. It follows, therefore, that clerics and the faithful are not permitted to participate in meetings, conferences or public celebrations during which the credibility of such "apparitions" would be taken for granted."

In other words, until the CDF decides on the matter, in the absence of a statement by the Bosnian bishop's, the Church is defaulting to the Yugoslavian bishops. Consequently, to avoid confusion and scandal, Archbishop Muller requested the nuncio to inform the US bishops about the seers visit in light of the 1991 Yugoslavian bishop's pronouncement, which clearly states that it is *not* possible (currently) to state that there are/were apparitions or supernatural messages.

Cardinal Muller's approach represents an increasingly active intervention on the part of the CDF; it "represent(s) a change of pastoral attitude on the part of the Holy See". It is clear that the CDF is insisting that ecclesiastical decisions be adhered to while we await the final decision of the Church.

Regarding Medjugorje, Catholics are duty-bound to obey directives from the local bishop and Yugoslavian/Bosnian Bishops' Conference, *esp*. directives regarding pastoral responsibilities, authentic Marian spirituality, liturgical celebrations and regulations regarding use of the church's property. Nor (according to <u>Colin B. Donovan, STL</u>), is it clear if Catholics are even any longer permitted to go to Medjugorje

"While the earlier statements permitted Catholics to go to Medjugorje, and even include priests acting as chaplains, the 2013 statements raise serious questions about the possibility of doing so. It was already inherent in the earlier statements that the valid basis for a pilgrimage must be a balanced Marian devotion. Catholics may not participate in any Medjugorje event that takes for granted the authenticity of the apparitions. Prior to 2013 the prohibition was placed on bishops and clergymen directing them not to conduct any formal pilgrimages to Medjugorje so as to give the appearance of official approbation when none actually existed; this extends to conferences, talks, retreats etc. hosted outside of Medjugorje as well."

"Practically speaking, how does one go on a pilgrimage to a destination whose fame depends on an alleged apparition and not presume it to be authentic? It seems unlikely that such pilgrimages are simply a matter of tourism and not organized with encounters, conferences and other activities to satisfy a presumption of authenticity."

Nor is *public* veneration of the Virgin Mary under the title of Our Lady of Medjugorje permitted; such a "cultus" amounts to a "presumption of authenticity".

When looking at both sides Medjugorje spectrum, from "Convinced Devotees" to "Unconvinced Skeptics", it becomes easier to understand why the latter group seems to have the stronger argument, why the Church has arrived at its current more strict approach, and why Pope Francis recently warned the faithful to be on guard for those "who always need novelty of Christian identity....They've forgotten that they were chosen, anointed, that they have the guarantee of the Spirit."

He said this prior to taking a papal jab at the visionaries",

"But where are the seers who tell us today, 'the letter that the Madonna will send us at four in the afternoon."

Our Lady, he emphasized,

"...is the Mother of everyone! And she loves all of us. She is not a postmaster, sending messages every day."

Continued Tomorrow — Why the Church has Taken a Tougher Stance on Medjugorje while Waiting for Final Pronouncement

Knowledge about "Fruits" Necessary to Evaluate Medjugorje and Other Apparitions

New Era World News

THIS IS PART TWO of a Series Entitled Confusion about Marian Apparitions. Part One ended with these words, which serve as a transition to a discussion about Obedience and then Medjugorje:

"Since Satan hates the woman and her seed, we should expect

anything pertaining to her, especially special visits to earth to guide and nourish her children, to be surrounded with confusion, lies, deceit, forgeries and above all else, by hatred and disobedience. And this is exactly what is found, especially on the ultra-liberal and ultra-conservative fringes. Although polar opposites, what they share in common is an affinity for **disobedience** and a **perversion of God's mercy**, which is either (1) forgotten, shrouded in false piety or turned into an occasion for callousness and hardness toward sinners, a wish for their punishment and chastisement rather than love, mercy and compassion as flow from the Heart of Jesus to rescue them from hell as Our Lady requested at Fatima or (2) an excessive tolerance leading to a false notion of love resulting in acceptance and even justification of sin.

The typical Medjugorje devotee will defend these supposed apparitions by citing scripture teaching that a tree is known by its fruits (Luke 6:43) and then proceed to explain how people are praying their rosaries and fasting etc. as if this was proof of advancement in holiness, while also ignoring that the Scripture about good fruits is connected to another teaching about false apostles:

"Beware of false prophets, who come to you in the clothing of sheep, but inwardly they are ravening wolves. By their fruits you shall know them" ($\underline{Matt 7:15-16}$).

Fasting is a discipline that involves the body; it cannot, in itself, make a person holy because becoming holy is a spiritual matter involving the human soul. Fasting can contribute to growth in holiness by enabling a person to acquire discipline and control of themselves, control of their passions in order that they might begin to make an advance on the spiritual path to holiness. Fasting-mortification is a first step; it involves disciplining the body.

Meditative prayer also involves the human body and its sentient faculties of memory and imagination, faculties that human beings share with animals (but not with angels) sentient faculties are not spiritual faculties. Meditative prayer, like fasting, involves the lower or animal sentient faculties; they are necessary but insufficient for spiritual growth, necessary to tame and order the passions and the imagination, which have been poisoned by the world, lack of proper formation in virtue, and temptation that can arise from the angelic realm. Mediation and fasting are for beginners, those who are ruled by their passions and imagination or who have a difficult time overcoming them. Novices must first be cleansed or purged of these affections, that is why spiritual doctors of the Church refer to fasting-mortification-mediation as the "Purgative Way." They represent a disciplining or purging of the mind and body without which further spiritual growth cannot be attained.

Fasting is a discipline, which if not performed with the proper motive of love of God and neighbor is practically useless. The same can be said of prayer, and esp. of meditative prayer, which is for beginners, those who are getting prepared to walk the higher spiritual path referred to by spiritual doctors as illumination (or the "illuminative" way") associated with growth in wisdom culminating in the mind of Christ, where a person learns to understand, appreciate and ultimately prefer the wisdom of the cross. Illumination, the acquisition of wisdom leads to a still higher realm: spiritual perfection by way of love. Perfection cannot be achieved without love; it is love that represents the highest spiritual attainment of the human soul manifest in what Teresa of Avila and other doctors of mystical theology refer to as the "Unitive Way", the sublime path of love. This path cannot be followed until a person has first conquered his-her passions and acquired wisdom, not just the wisdom contained in dogmatic theology, but the wisdom associated with the mystery of the Cross, mystical wisdom or mystical theology. It is only by

means of this wisdom that a person is able to understand and embrace the suffering that necessarily associated with love ultimately culminating in union with God and neighbor. Few people travel this road, many come to the doors of the unitive way, but recoil to the comforts of intellectual conversation:

"How narrow is the gate, and strait is the way that leadeth to life: and few there are that find it" (Matt 7:14).

John Paul II discussed the mystery of suffering in his encyclical "Salvifici Doloris":

"The words of that prayer of Christ in Gethsemane prove the truth of love through the truth of suffering.... This discovery caused St. Paul to write particularly strong words in the letter to the Galatians: "I have been crucified with Christ; it is no longer I who live, but Christ who lives in me: and the life I now live in the flesh I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me and gave himself for me."[62] Faith enables the author of these words to know that love which led Christ to the cross. And if He loved us in this way, suffering and dying, then with this suffering and death of His He lives in the one whom He loved in this way; He lives in the man: in Paul. And living in him to the degree that Paul, conscious of this through faith, responds to His love with love."

The failure of many to mount from purgation of the senses to spiritual wisdom and onward to the mystery of the cross by way of salvific love is the reason there are so few saints, but an abundance of catechists and professors (masters or quasimasters of dogmatic theology). There are many master of dogmatic theology but mystical theology has few masters, it cannot be mastered in the same way as dogma — it is not cerebral. Mystical theology is not something that is learned, rather, mystical theology must be lived, lived in ardent love of God and neighbor according to the mystery of the Cross.

"God forbid that I should glory, save in the cross of our Lord Jesus Christ; by whom the world is crucified to me, and I to the world. For in Christ Jesus neither circumcision availeth any thing, nor uncircumcision, but a new creature (things of the body)....From henceforth let no man be troublesome to me; for I bear the marks of the Lord Jesus in my body. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with your spirit, brethren. Amen" (Galatians 6:14-18).

Paul bore the marks of Christ in his body not as a trophy, but out of love for those to whom he ministered, those whom he served:

"I am caught between the two. I long to depart this life and be with Christ, [for] that is far better. Yet that I remain [in] the flesh is more necessary for your benefit. And this I know with confidence, that I shall remain and continue in the service of all of you for your progress (not mine-but yours) and joy in the faith" (Philippians 1:23-25).

No one can advance in the spiritual life if they are focused on themselves, on attaining spiritual benefits for their own advancement before men

"And when Simon (the magician) saw, that by the imposition of the hands of the apostles, the Holy Ghost was given, he offered them money, Saying: Give me also this power, that on whomsoever I shall lay my hands, he may receive the Holy Ghost. But Peter said to him: Keep thy money to thyself, to perish with thee, because thou hast thought that the gift of God may be purchased with money. Thou hast no part nor lot in this matter. For thy heart is not right in the sight of God" (Acts 8:18-21).

Not even prayers can be answered when the heart is turned to itself rather than to God and neighbor:

"From whence are wars and contentions among you? Are they not hence, from your concupiscences, which war in your members? You covet, and have not: you kill, and envy, and can not obtain. You contend and war, and you have not, because you ask not. You ask, and receive not; because you ask amiss: that you may consume it on your concupiscences" (James 4:1-5).

This is exactly the point being made here, *viz.*, before any advancement can be made in the spiritual life, concupiscence must be brought under control and eradicated. I did not say that the passions need to be eradicated (they are all good) but concupiscence or *disorderliness* that arises from the passions due to a weak will usually accompanied by a dim intellect have to be dealt with if there is to be any spiritual progress. However, the intellect can be sharp, razor sharp, and the passion still pose a problem. Or, a person might overcome the passions and acquire wisdom but then fail to advance in love — that leads to a stop in purgatory. If failure to love results is due to intellectual pride leading to schism, it might even be worse- that is up to God to decide, "who am I to judge" such things as Pope Francis has said.

As a person cannot have prayers answered on account of their asking wrongly, neither can they advance in the spiritual life if they pray and fast with the wrong intentions or without first having obtained rule over their own house, which makes possible further advancement in wisdom and love. If all a devotee does is pray for himself or for those whom he loves — he is *not* advancing, even the Pharisees and tax collectors did the same — he must pray and sacrifice for his enemies. Instead many dream of their chastisement and pray for justice and punishment — these are human sentiments *not* in accord with the mind and mission of Jesus Christ:

"I say to you, Love your enemies: do good to them that hate

you: and pray for them that persecute and calumniate you: That you may be the children of your Father who is in heaven, who maketh his sun to rise upon the good, and bad, and raineth upon the just and the unjust. For if you love them that love you, what reward shall you have? do not even the publicans this? And if you salute your brethren only, what do you more? do not also the heathens this? Be you therefore perfect, as also your heavenly Father is perfect" (Matt 5: 44-48).

Likewise, anyone who fasts without love is wasting his time. Our Lady taught her children to fast and pray for love of others, what she referred to as Reparation Prayer, Reparation Sacrifice, "Reparation Communion" all done for love of others, especially for the poorest of sinners in order to rescue them from eternal despair:

"You have seen hell where the souls of poor sinners go. **To save them**, God wishes to establish in this world devotion to
my Immaculate Heart....If what I say is done, many souls will
be saved, and there will be peace" (July 13, 1917 apparition
of Our Lady of Fatima).

Our Lady did not teach the children to merely fast and pray; she taught them to fast and pray out of love for others. If this is not being done or being done only for those by whom the person praying is loved, there will be little advancement. Without love, there is no merit in such activities. Even if a person progresses beyond the purgative way to the illuminative way and grows in wisdom so as to understand the greatest mysteries, even then, without love, such an attainment is essentially useless. Head and Heart, love and wisdom, must function together as an integral unity if there is to be an authentic expression of Catholicism. Wisdom, no matter how great a good (Aristotle even referred to it as the Summum Bonum — the greatest of all goods) is deficient without Love.

In fact, wisdom is not only incomplete without love, it is inferior to love — it is meant to be consummate in love:

"IF I speak with the tongues of men, and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal. And **if I should have prophecy and should know all mysteries, and all knowledge**, and if I should have all faith, so that I could remove mountains, **and have not charity, I am nothing**. And if I should distribute all my goods to feed the poor, and if I should deliver my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing.

"Charity never falleth away: whether prophecies shall be made void, or tongues shall cease, or knowledge shall be destroyed. For we know in part, and we prophesy in part. But when that which is perfect is come, that which is in part shall be done away.... Now I know in part; but then I shall know even as I am known. And now there remain faith, hope, and charity, these three: but the greatest of these is charity" (1 Corinthians 13: 1-13).

Backing up to the purgative way regarding spiritual exercises for beginners such as fasting and meditative prayer, it is dangerous to "get high on, or "prideful about", these lesser things; they cannot, in themselves, make a person holy. Holiness depends upon further appreciation of the wisdom of the cross acquired by illumination and ultimately depends upon death to self to better love God and neighbor without which no one can become perfect.

However, in addition to the sentient exercise of meditative prayer and mortification associated with the purgative way, there is a third element found at the beginning, throughout, and at the end of the spiritual ascent to perfection: Obedience. Of the three, mortification, meditative prayer and obedience, it is the latter that is most

important. In fact, one extremely small act of obedience, such as putting the lights out at 10 PM, is greater than the greatest act of mortification done without love, such as willingness to be endure torture out of hatred or pride.

Obedience is for beginners and for well-advanced proficients as well. **Obedience is greater than sacrifice**, unlike fasting and meditative prayer, **obedience is a spiritual exercise**. it is a spiritual exercise **because it involves the will, which is a spiritual faculty of the rational soul**.

Obedience does *not* differ in *degree* from mortification, it differs in *kind*; it is altogether of another species. Because it is a spiritual operation, it always exceeds that which is merely sentient or physical. The lowest operation of the higher spiritual power exceeds the highest operation of a lower physical power. The soul is the eternal spiritual image of the Holy Trinity, the body, without the soul, is merely finite dust having some likeness to God but *not* His image and not eternity.

Thus, a simple act of obedience transcends the greatest act of mortification if not elevated by love (because love emanates from the spiritual soul)!

Unfortunately, disobedience comes in great droughts at Medjugorje. As indicated, meditative prayer (such as the rosary) and fasting etc. are not necessarily fruits. There are 12 fruits and they all flow from LOVE not one of them is mortification or mediation, because the fruits are all spiritual.

The Twelve Fruits of the Holy Spirit:

"charity, joy, peace, patience, benignity, goodness, longanimity, mildness, faith, modesty, continency, chastity (for love of God). Against such there is no law. And they

that are Christ's, have crucified their flesh, with the vices and concupiscences." (Galatians 5:22-24).

So when a Medjugorje devotee claims that rosary and fasting are fruits; he-she is speaking incorrectly. Fasting and meditative prayer might be manifestations of fruit if done out of love — as was said above, all the fruits flow from charity or love:

"The first disposition of the human mind towards the good is effected by love, which is the first of our emotions and the root of them all, as stated above (I-II:27:4). Wherefore among the fruits of the Holy Ghost, we reckon "charity," wherein the Holy Ghost is given in a special manner, as in His own likeness, since He Himself is love. Hence it is written (Romans 5:5): "The charity of God is poured forth in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, Who is given to us." The necessary result of the love of charity is joy: because every lover rejoices at being united to the beloved. Now charity has always actual presence in God Whom it loves, according to 1 John 4:16: "He that abideth in charity, abideth in God, and God in Him": wherefore the sequel of charity is "joy." Now the perfection of joy is peace" (St. Thomas Aquinas, 0 70, A 3).

From there Aquinas goes on to demonstrate that *all* the fruits flow from love because they are manifestations of the operation of the Holy Spirit in the Soul, the Holy Spirit who is Love.

Thus, prayers fasting and all manner of piety are not indications of the working of the Holy Spirit *if* they are unaccompanied by love, love of God manifest in a willingness to die to self, do be obedient, to suffer for the good of others, to suffer with *joy* and *peace*, which actually are fruits — these are the fruits to be looked for. However, when Medjugorje is examined, it is readily seen that not only are

these fruits often absent, there opposite corruptions are often abundantly present. Understanding this helps to grasp exactly what the "Holy Office" intended when it stated:

"We must resist error even when it masquerade as piety."

Scripture confirms this insight by the Holy Office; it also reveals how to spot error — error cannot hide forever behind a masquerade of piety nor can it hide behind ersatz love like Judas hid behind the purse whose contents he spent on himself. Error is revealed in concupiscence, worldliness, in lack of peace, the tranquility of spirit that comes forth from wisdom and love nurtured by humility and obedience:

"Now I beseech you, brethren, to mark them who make dissensions and offences contrary to the doctrine which you have learned, and avoid them. For they that are such, serve not Christ our Lord, but their own belly; and by pleasing speeches (ultra-conservatives) and good words (ultra-liberals), seduce the hearts of the innocent. For your obedience is published in every place. I rejoice therefore in you (not in them who are disobedient). But I would have you to be wise in good, and simple in evil. And the God of peace crush Satan under your feet speedily. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you" (Romans 16: 17-20).

At Medjugorje disobedience is all over the canvas as will be demonstrated in the next article. As reported in Crisis
Magazine:

"In Medjugorje, we are confronted with the counter-intuitive phenomenon of the Madonna (Herself) encouraging disobedience to the successors of the Apostles, and disobedience of some Franciscans to Vatican directives.

<u>Pope Francis has</u> directed that the matter be looked into and has recently indicated that the process of investigation is

<u>Go to Part III: Church has Taken Tougher Stance on Medjugorje</u>
<u>Waiting Final Pronouncement</u>

Confusion about Marian Apparitions Result of the "Woman" at War with the Serpent

New Era World News

INTRODUCTION TO MEDJUGORJE AND THOSE WHO FALSIFY THE TRUTH ABOUT FATIMA:

The entire universe is resounding with the echo of Divine Logos: "Mercy-Mercy" and of His Mother who is asking for reparation from her children for the sins of others, asking penance from those who love God for those who are steeped in sin. Our Lord and Our Lady are asking for love, mercy, compassion, and sacrifice for sinners while some schismatic (and non-schismatic) ultra-conservatives are calling for their heads, calling for punishment, divine retribution, and chastisement. The pope is correct, they don't get it. But neither do ultra-liberals who make excuses for sins, condone them, militantly embrace them and refuse to ask for forgiveness — they don't get it either.

The Holy Father is the Vicar of Christ — His representative on earth. As such, he is expected to mirror the wishes, will, and desires of his King. And it is the King's will, at this special moment of human

history, that Mercy be the theme of His Church, that mercy be showered over all the earth at every time and place from the rising of the sun until its setting. Jesus, Himself, revealed to Saint Faustina that this gift of Mercy is His *last* gift to the Church before He returns in glory as the world's judge.

How do we know we are entering the end times, the time preceding the parousia when Christ will return in glory to judge the living and the dead? One complex and difficult way is the study of sacred scripture especially eschatological literature. A more simple approach is the fact that Jesus promised to be with His Church until the end of time:

"Behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world" (Matt 28:20)

And that the Holy Trinity would reveal to the Church all that was going to happen:

"I have yet many things to say to you: but you cannot bear them now. But when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will teach you all truth. For he shall not speak of himself; but what things soever he shall hear, he shall speak; and the things that are to come, he shall shew you" (John 16: 12-13).

Then, years after His Ascension, Jesus appeared to Saint John on the island of Patmos and He has continued to appear to His saints at special times throughout history.

"And I turned to see the voice that spoke with me. And being turned, I saw seven golden candlesticks: And in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks, one like to the Son of man, clothed with a garment down to the feet, and girt about the paps with a golden girdle. And his head and his hairs were white, as white wool, and as snow, and his eyes were as a flame of fire, And his feet like unto fine brass, as in a burning furnace. And his voice as the sound of many waters. And he had in his right hand seven stars. And from his mouth came out a sharp two edged sword: and his face was as the sun

"And when I had seen him, I fell at his feet as dead. And he laid his right hand upon me, saying: Fear not. I am the First and the Last, And alive, and was dead, and behold I am living for ever and ever, and have the keys of death and of hell. Write therefore the things which thou hast seen, and which are, and which must be done hereafter" (Revelation 1: 12-19).

In the 20th century Jesus revealed Himself to a highly regarded Polish saint, Saint Faustina Kowalska, a poor Polish nun to whom He appeared prior to World War II. Among other things, He confided to her His love for humanity and His desire to bless the world with an outpouring of Divine Mercy before His final coming as "Just Judge". He also confided His special love for the nation of Poland from which He said would come the "spark" that would prepare the world for His Second Coming.

Among other things, He revealed to Saint Faustina the meaning of His eschatological statement in the Gospel of Matthew about a "sign" in the heavens that would be given humanity prior to His final coming (Mt 24:30). He told her it would be **the final sign**, a sign of mercy intended to beckon all humanity to repentance before the Great Day of Final Judgment:

"Write this (He said): Before I come as the just Judge, I am coming first as the King of Mercy. Before the day of justice arrives, there will be given to people a sign in the heavens of this sort:

"All light in the heavens will be extinguished, and there will be great darkness over the whole earth. Then the sign of the cross will be seen in the sky, and from the openings where the hands and the feet of the Savior were nailed will come forth great lights which will light up the earth for a period of time. **This will take place**

shortly before the last day" (Divine Mercy Diary, 83).

Until that time, between now and then, He desires Mercy, especially mercy for the greatest sinners. Thus, He further revealed to Saint Faustina that those who have the most right to His mercy are the most grievous sinners:

"Let the greatest sinners place their trust in My mercy. They have the right before others to trust in the abyss of My mercy. ... Souls that make an appeal to My mercy delight Me. To such souls I grant even more graces than they ask" (Diary of Saint Faustina Para 1146).

Jesus has a

"...special compassion for the worst sinners, because they are most in need of His mercy."

Pope Francis is keenly aware of God's mercy and of His desire to extend it everywhere, especially toward hardened sinners. He is acting accordingly and as the Vicar of Christ, he expects Catholic clergy and laity to do the same. God wants forgiveness, mercy and compassion, not judgment, severity and legalism.

The Hour of Mercy is a time to pronounce, to pronounce the good news, *not* to renounce.

"For I came not to judge the world, but to save the world" (<u>John</u> 12:47, <u>John 3:17</u>).

With this Message of Mercy, along with the necessity of obedience, ingrained in mind it is much easier to unravel the confusion coming from both the extreme left and the right wing spectra of the Church concerning apparitions pertaining to the Virgin Mary.

First, it must be recognized that Satan has a special hatred for the Virgin Mary, an *enmity* placed between them by God the Father, Himself.

"I will put enmities between thee and the woman, and thy seed and her seed: she shall crush thy head, and thou shalt lie in wait for her heel" (Gen. 3:15).

As she is favored above all others by the Father (<u>Luke. 1:28</u>), she is hated above all others by Satan (Gen 3:15). She is hated because she, like Jesus, is obedient unto death as evidenced by her willingness to give her life to accomplish the will of the Father, to give her life on account of a potential false accusation of adultery pertaining to her conception of Jesus without Joseph being the father. Mary is the first disciple of Jesus, and like Jesus, her Divine Son, Mary is obedient unto death (<u>Luke 1:38</u>). She is hated because she is the Mother of the Divine Logos who is the way and the life and the truth, while Satan is a murder and a liar from the beginning. Mother of all those baptized into the truth, while he is the Father of all those who are liars, very clever liars (<u>John 8:44</u>). He hates her immensely that he vomits a river of filth from his lying and perverse mouth to carry her away to oblivion drowned under a nefarious tidal wave of calumniation and deception (Revelation 12: 13-15).

How do we know that Mary is the Woman hated by Satan as prophesied in Genesis 3:15. Both scripture and reason proclaim her to be the Woman. Jesus Himself, refers to his mother as "woman" in at least two places in scripture. First at the wedding feast in Cana (John 2:4), and then, most distinctly and clearly, He calls Her "Woman" with His dying breath on Mt. Calvary:

"When Jesus therefore had seen his mother and the disciple standing whom he loved, he saith to his mother: Woman, behold thy son. After that, he saith to the disciple: Behold thy mother" (John 19: 26-27).

Reason also proclaims that the Virgin Mary is the Woman spoken of in Genesis 3:15. In the Apocalypse she is depicted as the "woman clothed

with the sun" and at war with Satan (<u>Revelation 12: 1-4</u>). In these passages, the woman is presented as the Mother of Jesus as the one giving birth to the savior. Who is this but Mary?

"And a great sign appeared in heaven: A woman clothed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and on her head a crown of twelve stars: And being with child, she cried travailing in birth, and was in pain to be delivered.... And she brought forth a man child, who was to rule all nations with an iron rod: and her son was taken up to God, and to his throne"

What human being rules all nations from the throne of God? If the answer is Jesus Christ, then clearly the woman who gave Him birth, is His mother, the Virgin Mary.

The woman is *not* and cannot be the Church as some claim. The Church is *not* the *Mother of Christ* — The Church is the *Bride* of Christ. Nor is the Church Israel; Israel is *not* the Mother of the Son of God — Israel *rejected*, and to this day rejects, the Son of God. Nor is Israel the Bride of Christ; Israel rejected Christ when He came with nuptial promises as the Divine Groom to wed her (Mark 2:19). Moreover, the woman depicted in Revelation is referred to as a great "sign", *signum magna*.

When requested by God to ask for a sign, the Prophet Isaiah informs us that King Ahaz refused; so God himself provided a sign: a Virgin giving birth to God-Emmanuel (which means **God** is with us) as the savior of the world.

"And the Lord spoke again to Achaz, saying: Ask thee a sign of the Lord thy God either unto the depth of hell, or unto the height above. And Achaz said: I will not ask, and I will not tempt the Lord. And he said: Hear ye therefore, O house of David: Is it a small thing for you to be grievous to men, that you are grievous to my God also? Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign. Behold a virgin shall conceive, and bear a son, and his name shall be called Emmanuel" (Isaiah 7:10-14).

Revelation 12 is a corollary to Isaiah 7. Both reveal the mystery of the incarnation and speak of a woman giving birth to the savior of the world as a "sign" provided by God.

"A great sign appeared in heaven: A woman clothed with the sun, and the moon under her feet, and on her head a crown of twelve stars: And being with child, she cried travailing in birth, and was in pain to be delivered.

The woman depicted in Revelation 12 is the Mother of God. The Mother of God is a "virgin" (as revealed by Isaiah), but Israel according to her own prophets is a "whore" and thus cannot be the woman revealed in Revelation 12. It is, according to Isaiah, a virgin that gave birth to God's son, not an adulterous. But Hosea tells us, Israel is an adulterous:

"Judge your mother, judge her: because **she is not my wife**, and I am not her husband. **Let her put away her fornications** from her face, and her adulteries from between her breasts. Lest I strip her naked, and set her as in the day that she was born: and I will make her as a wilderness, and will set her as a land that none can pass through, and will kill her with drought" (Hosea 2: 2-5).

Other passages in the Old Testament that refer to the restoration of the marriage covenant between God and Israel are references to the New Testament Church, the New Israel with whom Christ established a "new and everlasting covenant" forfeited by all those members of espoused Israel who refused to accept Him when He called them to marital union, called them to be one with Him as members of His mystical body, the Church, the New Israel. The New Israel is the Bride of Christ (Ephesians 5:25-27; Revelation 21: 9-11; Ephesians 5:32). Thus, when the Old Testament speaks of a renewed covenant, it is clear that the reference is to Church, as in Hosea 2:16-18, the passage adroitly speaks of a future day and of a new covenant while also depicting Jesus as a Prince of Peace who espouses the New Israel not by the "works" of the old law but by "faith" of the new law (Hosea 2:18-20).

"And in that day (a day to come) I will make (future) a covenant with them (another covenant-thus a new covenant), with the beasts of the field, and with the fowls of the air, and with the creeping things of the earth: and I will destroy the bow, and the sword, and war out of the land: and I will make them sleep secure. And I will espouse thee to me for ever: and I will espouse thee to me in justice, and judgment, and in mercy, and in commiserations. And I will espouse thee to me in faith: and thou shalt know that I am the Lord" (Hosea 2: 18-20).

The New Israel are those faithful members of Old Israel who accepted Christ as the promised Messiah and all of the gentiles who have been joined to Him as a result of the many Israelite who rejected $Him \ (Romans \ 11:17-24)$.

Mary is the Mother of New Israel, Mother of the Church

The Prince of Darkness harbors a mysterious acroamatic enmity (an enmity ordained by God Himself) for the Woman clothed with the sun, the Woman clothed in light who is Mother of Christ, the Woman who gave Christ His body, which He took from her flesh (He is Flesh of her flesh, Bone of her bone) in the temple of her maternal womb — the tabernacle of the Glory of God, Jesus Christ. The fact is, it was the Virgin Mary who gave God His human body — the body that suffered and died for the salvation of the world!

Take a moment and contemplate that divine-human mystery, that singular grace that no prophet, saint, angel or martyr has ever, or will ever, emulate: The Holy Trinity synapsed with humanity in the womb of the Virgin Mary; Divinity and humanity co-existed in the Sacred Womb of the Virgin Mary. God not only became man in her womb, God took his human flesh within the walls of that womb from the body and blood of Mary herself! The body that would suffer and die for humanity is mingeled with the flesh of Mary. She is the Mother of both His physical body and of His mystical body, the Church; She is thus Mother

of all the children of God, the rest of her seed as revealed in <u>John</u>

19: 26-27 and <u>Revelation 12:17</u>, which also reveals that the dragon or Satan

"...was angry against the woman: and went to make war with the rest of her seed, (those) who keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ."

Clearly, Satan hates the woman and her children depicted here as "the rest of her seed". What does the text mean by the "rest of her seed"? Ostensibly the text is hearkening back to Genesis 3:15 wherein God states that he will create enmity between the seed of the woman and the seed of Satan:

"I will put enmities between thee and the woman, and thy **seed** and her **seed**."

In Genesis, the *seed* refers to Christ. The *rest of her seed* spoken of in Revelation are those borne of the Holy Spirit who make up the members of the Body of Christ having Mary as their mystical or spiritual Mother. They are referred to as the rest of Her seed because Jesus as depicted in Revelation 12: 4-5 is the first seed and the others are his brothers and sisters, the "rest of her seed" that make up the *one* Body of Christ, the sons and daughters of the Woman who is the Mother of all the children of God, the Mother of the Church, His mystical body, of which He is the Head. Naturally as Mother of Jesus, she is also mother of His body, His Church.

Jesus came forth from the Woman who had the dragon at her feet ready to devour Him at his birth through the evil designs of Herod who ordered the death of all male children under two years of age in order to assure the slaughter Christ (Matthew 2:16). Herod failed and so did Satan. They failed because Christ is Son of God the Father who was caught up to heaven to sit on the throne of the Almighty safe from the ravenous claws of the serpent:

"And the dragon stood before the woman who was ready to be

delivered; that, when she should be delivered, he might devour her son."

"And she brought forth a man child, who was to rule all nations with an iron rod: and her son was taken up to God, and to his throne" (Revelation 12: 4-5).

Consequently, Satan makes war with those left behind, the woman and the rest of her seed, her human sons and daughters who make up the Body of Christ her Divine Son.

Since Satan hates the woman and her seed, we should expect anything pertaining to her, especially special visits to earth to guide and nourish her children, to be surrounded with confusion, lies, deceit, forgeries and above all else, by hatred and disobedience. And this is exactly what is found, especially on the ultra-liberal and ultra-conservative fringes. Although polar opposites, what they share in common is an affinity for disobedience and a perversion of God's mercy, which is either (1) forgotten, shrouded in false piety or turned into an occasion for callousness and hardness toward sinners, a wish for their punishment and chastisement rather than love, mercy and compassion as flow from the Heart of Jesus to rescue them from hell as Our Lady requested at Fatima or (2) an excessive tolerance leading to a false notion of love resulting in acceptance and even justification of sin.

Continued: Medjugorje Part Two: <u>"Knowledge about "Fruits"</u> <u>Necessary to Evaluate Medjugorje and Other Apparitions"</u>

South Korea Having a Bout with Liberalism that Will Affect America

New Era World News

LIBERALISM HAS ENTERED A PERIOD OF GLOBAL decline. Since it inception, Newera has reported on the eclipse of liberalism occurring in places diverse as Poland, Hungary, Slovakia, France, Philippines, Taiwan, Russia, Nigeria, Bulgaria, Moldova et al; now it appears that South Korea is following the global trend, but in a less obvious way. For decades Korean students (students steeped in the Confucian tradition of elevating scholars as defenders of morality) have risen against authoritarian rule and self-serving elites, elites who, in the name of private property and individualism, have contributed to the dehumanization of the human person and to economic injustice. Together, these phenomena contributed to the rise of liberal parties committed to freedom and equality resulting in the eventual acceptance and toleration of immoral behaviors that were once thought criminal. As a result of excessive toleration, liberal education and a broad media campaign supported by One World Globalists, just about every moral aberration is now being claimed as a human right. Recent events, however, indicate that change is taking place in the Korean atmosphere as it is elsewhere around the globe as an increasing number of people are turning toward the right and voting conservative.



Over a million People Gather in Seoul to Protest President Park Geun-hye

Over a million people gathered in Gwanghwamun Square and in cities throughout South Korea in late November, 2016 calling for **President Park Geun-hye** (the daughter of former president Park Chung-hee) to retire from office. Park's approval rating plummeted from around 90% to a new low of 14% following reports that Park's long-time confidant and cult leader, non-appointed and non-elected, **Choi Soon-sil** has been charged with fraud and coercion for using spiritual manipulation that enabled her to use the relationship she cultivated with President Park to garner over \$60 million in donations for her foundations. Some have referred to Choi as "Rasputin", as a covert "puppet master" who is the real power behind "the throne."

Choi Soon-sil's father, Choi Tae-min was a Christian cult leader who was married six times before his death in 1994. Choi established the "Church of Eternal Life" and referred to himself as the "Maitreya", a Future Buddha, a claim that he used to ingratiate himself to Park Geun-hye following her mother's death in 1974 after which she became the First Lady of South Korea. In that year, Tae-min presented himself to Park and said that her mother had appeared to him in a dream and asked him to assist her daughter.

Like his daughter, Choi Soon-sil (who succeeded her father as leader of the Eternal Life Church), Choi Tae-min used his relationship with Park to bribe both businessmen and government officials. According to WikiLeaks, the American Embassy in Seoul reported that

Choi "had complete control over Park's body and soul during her formative years and that his children accumulated enormous wealth as a result."

Choi Soon-sil, picked up where her father left off. <u>CNN</u> describes Soon-sil as "a deviously persuasive figure outside government", a persuasive figure who used her influence to tell the president how to run the country, "in exchange for favors".

Consequently, up to 1.7 million people gathered in Seoul in sight of the presidential residence to demand the president's expulsion. According to the <u>Washington Post</u>,

"Park is accused of letting a longtime friend with no official government role, Choi Soon-sil, see classified documents and improperly influence government actions. In turn, Choi has been charged with using her relationship with the president to shake down the nation's biggest companies for donations to her companies, personally enriching herself."

According to <u>Forbes</u>, Koreans are not only upset with Park's connections with the Church of Eternal Life but also because of the perception she cultivated of being above corruption. Park, elected in 2012 as South Korea's first female leader, was purported to be from a new mold, expected to put her country before personal gain, a true public servant.

"Part of her appeal is the perception that she is not corrupt," says Trinh Nguyen, senior economist with French investment bank Natixis. "Koreans thought that given that she had no family and was estranged from her siblings that her After assuming office on Feb 25, 2013, her first mission as Head of State was a trip to the US to meet President Barack Obama and his Secretary of State John Kerry. President Park predictably urged cooperative S. Korean-American efforts to contain North Korea and accepted the presence of 20,000+ US troops on South Korean soil. Park expressed her wish that beyond containment of North Korea, that the US-South Korean partisanship be "upgraded from a comprehensive strategic alliance to a global partnership." The parties agreed to a joint-statement pledging to establish a committee charged with communications and information technology and to pursue a comprehensive energy plan to assure continued coadjuvancy and on-going symbiotic growth of the two economies.

Nonetheless, on December 9, 2016, the South Korean Parliament voted to impeach their president on charges of corruption, thereby *de facto* terminating her executive powers. Since then, Prime Minister Hwang Kyo-ahn has been invested with those powers as Acting President. This leaves the door wide-open to re-evaluate South Korea's commitment to liberal trade, to its pro-US foreign policy and South Korea's 2013 commitment to pursue a global partnership with the USA. Thus, according to <u>USA Today</u>:

"The pro-U.S. foreign policy of South Korean President Park Geun Hye is at risk now that she appears to be on her way out over a growing corruption scandal."

Several of the at-risk trade and foreign policies validated by the Obama Administration include:

North Korea

Following North Korea's nuclear test on September 9, 2016,

South Korea formulated a hard-line policy with its northern neighbor as well as rethought its relations with China and Japan. Park's new approach was congruent with President Obama's plan to treat North Korea as a pariah state and thereby slap it with additional economic sanctions. President Obama said that the imposition of new sanctions, on top of already existing sanctions, demonstrate to North Korea that there are "consequences to its unlawful and dangerous actions." Now, however, according to John Delury, professor of International Affairs as Yonsei University:

"With the equally sudden demise of the president (Park), it puts into question the new hard-line policy."

For example, following the North Korean missile launch, President Park ordered South Korean military units to withdraw from the Kaesong Industrial Complex, a cooperative venture reliant on South Korean expertise and yet provides significant funds to the North. When Park leaves office, Delury believes that "doves" in the administration would reopen the joint-project. His prognosis is seconded by past US Ambassador to S. Korea, Kathleen Stephens, who recently indicated that parties in opposition to the President's party are likely to drop Park's hard-line stance and seek a rapprochement with Pyongyang.

It would be "a major project" to reopen relations with the North, but options would include a resumption of food and humanitarian aid, plus family reunions that took place in past years.

As <u>USA Today</u> points out:

"Such voices have already spoken out. Chung-in Moon, a foreign affairs adviser to South Korea's opposition, in September called for suspending joint military exercises with the United States and for encouraging dialogue with the

North. Such a move by South Korea would be seen as a step toward rejecting the U.S. security umbrella in the region that has existed since the 1950s, said Yun Sun, an analyst at the Stimson Center, a Washington think tank.

Missile Defense

As a further consequence of N. Korea's nuclear test, Park permitted the United States to deploy THAAD (a \$900 million Terminal High Altitude Area Defense) missile system, and to accept a further deployment of US troops in South Korea. China, South Korea's major trading partner, interpreted the deployment as a threat to its own missiles and aircraft. The \$900 bill has been picked up by the United States, but President Trump has indicated that he wants US allies to pay their fair share. On top of that, there are political forces in S. Korea who would like to improve relations with China; Parks removal provides them with an opportunity.

Conclusion

Agreement as a "job-killing trade deal." By "job-killing", Trump was referring to US jobs; Korea, like Japan and China, is the primary beneficiary, something Trump has vowed to rectify. If S. Korea really wants to keep the agreement in tact, it will have to make concessions to the United States or find alternative trade with China and Europe, something made increasingly possible with the ongoing construction of the "Silk Road Project" linking Asia to Europe. As it stands right now, S. Korea's trade with China exceeds its trade with the USA. In fact, trade between S. Korea and China is greater than its combined trade with both the US and Japan!

South Korea continues to improve its economic relations with

China; the two nations have joined the newly created Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB). The AIIB along with BRICS is viewed by many as an alternative funding for infrastructure projects traditionally handled by the IMF and World Bank which have strapped debtor nations with neo-liberal fiscal and monetary requirements detrimental to both their indigenous culture and their economic growth, requirements not imposed by the AIIB.

The Real issue here is how Park's removal affects relations between the United States and China and how it contributes to ongoing American-Korean relations and the exportation of neoliberal economic and social policies with US satellites throughout Asia, satellites who, like the Philippines and Malaysia, have indicated a desire to increase trade relations (and military drills) with China and Russia. S. Korea desires a continued US alliance; however, S. Korea also has a significant and growing relationship with China. The question is which one will predominate as Southeast Asian nations appear to be moving toward more local and regional patterns of association.

Is President Trump pushing America's allies in SE Asia into the Chines camp and into closer regional cooperation among each other? Is the ouster of Parks a signal of an ongoing Asian shift toward increased regional autonomy, toward new trade opportunities with China, Russia and Europe? Newera believes that these events are occurring but not as a result of President Trump's policies or executive agenda for America. No, these events were in motion before Trump ever assumed office and they will continue in motion as they are regularly propelled forward by unforeseen and unexpected events such as the ouster of South Koran President President Park Geun Hye. These are not events or knee-jerk reactions to anything Mr. Trump is doing or has done. Rather, they are events indicative of something happening to Mr. Trump and to America, something unforeseen by any geopolitical forecaster as more

and more nations (either consciously or inadvertently) move to shake off the divisive economic, political, and social aspects of global liberalism and move toward an "Era of Peace".

The United States must wake up to the fact or find itself on the back-side of history as the nations move on without it. The US can maintain a global leadership position if it slowly, yet consistently, relinquishes its liberal economic-political-cultural agenda and sincerely reaches out to developing nations with disinterested helping hands as well as extending cooperative hands toward Russia and Europe in the mutual battle against terrorism. If the US gives up its liberal policy of forced regime change, learns to respect the sovereign political rights of other nations, puts a halt to its liberal sexual agenda, and cooperates for the economic global common-good of all nations, if it can do these things, the United Sates will be admired as a peace-maker and perhaps regain its status as a "great nation under God."

Following Phone Conversation Trump Apparently Pivots on Russian Rapprochment

New Era World News

OVER THE WEEKEND NEWERA provided an <u>abstract</u> containing a conclusion and forecast relative to the Intelligence leak, the release of Secretary of <u>National Security Flynn</u> and the Trump request that Putin <u>return Crimea to the Ukraine</u>.

CONCLUSION: President Trump's actions can be understood as a practical rational response to internal pressures arising from

the so-called "deep government" The real issue here is whether or not Mr. Trump is up to a life and death struggle with the so-called "deep government" to reclaim America for all Americans thereby making "America Great Again."

FORECAST: Despite the monumental bulwark known as the "deep government', the United States and Russia will continue down a rapprochement but not without significant interference, which can be expected from all ends of the political and social-cultural spectrum. Constant, well orchestrated, and confusing series of events can be expected as agents from both the left and right proceed to push confrontation with Russia to a boiling point. Nonetheless, in the long run, the shadow government will fail as it has consistently failed and been out maneuvered in its foreign policy initiatives for the past decade - we have no discernible reason to believe that this chain of events will cease unfolding. The shadow-government is being opposed by more than Mr. Trump. The real question is what will Mr. Trump do? Will he continue down the road of his immediate predecessors, or be bold enough to set America on a new course?

What is Going ON?

The leak of information to the press, the resignation of Secretary Flynn, and the strange request for the return of Crimean to the Ukraine represent a peculiar set of interrelated phenomena germane to American-Russian foreign relations. The return of Crimea is clearly a Russian issue, an issue that appears to engender conflict and create distance between the United States and Russia. Likewise, the intelligence leak and removal of Flynn are also "Russian issues." Of all of Mr. Trump' recent appointees, Flynn was the most adamant about a reset with Russia involving cooperation in the battle against ISIS, the lifting or amendment of

sanctions, and an emphasis on the sovereignty of nations.

These three objectives stand in stark contrast to the globalist agenda that is being challenged by various nations esp. nation's throughout Europe. This emerging challenge to globalism involves advocacy, protection, and promotion of the sovereign rights of individual nation states, the conservation of diverse cultural patrimonies and the safequarding of Christians and traditional Christian values all of which are supported by Russia and a widening array of "populist parties" spread throughout Europe. They are however, anathema to the EU and American New World Order Globalists who are involved in a decades long process of using foreign aid, the power of multi-media, education, and when necessary, military force, to advance and export Western culture and <u>liberal values to third world nations</u> thereby promoting a one world culture antithetical to indigenous cultures and traditional family-oriented and Christian values.

Given this clash between the global agenda and the Kremlin, anything or any person favorable to American-Russian cooperation and a cooperative war against terrorism (what Trump referred to as "bombing the shit out of ISIS", whom the war-hawks and globalists have supported in their thwarted attempts to overthrow the democratically elected government of Syria) must be opposed and, if possible, neutralized or terminated. Thus, the ouster of Flynn appears to be a macrodynamic related to hindering American and Russian relations as hinted at by Mr.Trump.

In this regard, following Flynn's expulsion, Leonid Slutsky, head of the Russian Duma's (Parliament) foreign-affairs committee, issued a statement, picked-up by <u>The Washington Post</u>.

According to Slutsky, the removal of Flynn represents a "negative signal for normalizing the Russian-American dialogue."

Likewise, Russian Senator Alexi Pushkov echoed Slutsky's evaluation by indicating that the issue is more about Russian relations than it is about Flynn:

"The mission isn't Flynn, it's relations with Russia."

The Trump Team is therefore facing stiff opposition not only from an entrenched bureaucracy but also from die hard members of the intelligence community who still view Russia through the lens of Soviet Communism or of those committed to globalism and who realize that Russia is increasingly becoming a Christian nation-state and purveyor of traditional family values and an avowedly anti-liberal foreign policy. If Trump plans to improve relations with Russia, thereby enhancing Russian economic development, according to the globalists, he must be opposed. The Deep State supporters of global hegemony, those who promote the ideological export of American values to all nations abroad view themselves as patriots who must stop the nefarious Russian Bear whose commitment to national sovereignty and Christianity is a threat to global hegemony and the advancement of the liberal New World agenda. the "Deep State" and its operatives in the bureaucracy must stop Russia even if it mean opposing their own president, and even though such opposition is a crime that could be prosecuted as "treason."

Given such an international political battlefield, it is little wonder that President Trump is being opposed and Russia vilified. As the contestants stepped into the arena for the first round, Trump lunged forward with a flurry of punches beginning with a quick jab to Obamacare, an undercut to immigration, and a hay-maker that halted taxpayer dollars from being used to fund "counseling or referrals for abortion or advocating for access to abortion services" in foreign countries. It was the Deep State however, those operating in the shadows of secrecy, that threw the most devastating punch. It appears that Mr. Trump endured a body blow to the gut that doubled him over causing him to regurgitate his National Defense Secretary whom he discharged from office leading many analysts giving the first round to the Deep State and leaving them wondering if Trump could recover from the body thrashing.

Is it possible that Trump was playing "rope a dope" from round one in order to score a bigger victory, perhaps a KO in later rounds? That we do not know, but if the president continues on like this, few doubt that his mouth piece will be out by round two and by the third round he will acquiesce (as others before him) by agreeing to throw the fight and giving way to the demands of the Deep State who will carry him for appearance sake to the fifteenth round of the fourth year, that is, if he plays along as he is supposed to.

By failing to fight back, by failing to protect Flynn, President Trump appears to be dazed, a look that can only increase the ferocity of his opponent, a look that rapidly unleashes the instinct to close in for the finish.



Nonetheless, some analysts such as Israeli publicist Avigdor Eskin emphasize that the president can still win IF he sticks to the simple program of delivering on his campaign promises; this

however will entail a ferocious battle, perhaps the most lethal in the annals of American Executive history. If Mr. Trump's hanging the picture of Andrew Jackson across from his desk in the Oval Office is any indicator of his resolve, if it is more than decor, expect the president to launch a coy but

surreptitious comeback.

"His only chance to prevail is by forcing his way through in order to win as soon as possible massive support at home and active assistance from his real allies around the world. He must stick to his promises and show uncompetitive faithfulness to his team," Eskin stressed.

Eskin understands that Trump is up against the Deep State, that he must deal with lethal opponents and their agents deeply entrenched in the Washington bureaucracy.

It is unlikely that the president will find enough intellectual and cultural support at home (support beyond the adulation of the masses), a land inundated with liberal values, a place where liberal political, economic, and moral ideas have morphed into ordinary life to be accepted without thought as easily as oxygen in the air is inhaled without any thinking involved. This culture has spread far beyond the Atlantic and Pacific coasts to encompass the globe thereby making the American Deep State and its allies major players and beneficiaries in the global spread of liberalism. This culture of liberalism has become so pervasive that it has been concretized in every major institution, the very structures of society that John Paul II was speaking about when he stated:

"It is important to note therefore that a world which is divided unto blocs, sustained by rigid ideologies, and in which instead of interdependence and solidarity different forms of imperialism hold sway, can only be a world subject to structures of sin. The sum total of the negative factors working against a true awareness of the universal common good, and the need to further it, gives the impression of creating, in persons and institutions, an obstacle which is difficult to overcome."

Eventually, Mr. Trump will have to make some moves against

terrorists in the Middle East who have been supported overtly or covertly by transfer of aid from one group to another in Syria and Iraq. Eventually the truth will have to be told about American ally Saudi Arabia. It is the Sauds *not* Iranians who are the major sponsor of international terrorism. It is true that Iran supports lonesome Hezbollah; the roots of 9-11, however are traced to Riyadh not Tehran, ISIS-ISIL and Al Qaeda all have their roots on Saudi Arabia, the home of the most radical forms of Islam — Wahhabi and Salafist — a tyrannical monarchy opposed to democracy and upholders of Shariah law.

Eventually these realities will have to be admitted, and the Trump administration will have to deal with the cascading fallout such a revelation and its resounding repercussions will cause among neoliberal and neocon supporters of Saudi Arabia and Israel. It is due to Israel's opposition to Hezbollah and to its cooperation with US ally Saudi Arabia (albeit for political expediency) that Iran is vilified in the Western press while Iran's opponent, Saudi Arabia (the home of jihad, Al Queda, and Sunni radicalism in its many forms) is supporting terrorists throughout the Middle East in order to destabilize governments antithetical to its (and Israel's) interests, which currently also seem to be American interests. The US declared a war on terrorism and then turned around and gave significant tranches of aid to terrorists while simultaneously maintain its alliance with the country that If President Trump dares to team up with produces them. Russia to eradicate terrorism, he will have to face the ire of Israel, Saudi Arabia and the Deep State.

However, if the new president continues the foreign policy of the Bush and Obama administrations (who though very different in ideology waged essentially the same failing strategy of the Middle East), if he continues to pursue the same path as his predecessors (a path favored by the globalists), American Foreign Policy will continue its downward slide and America will continue suffering one international embarrassment after another (as it has for the past decade) while earning the ire of other nations around the globe. President Obama, the Nobel Peace winner, was never able to disengage from war or to defeat ISIS; Trump however, has vowed to obliterate them, implicitly, with Russian cooperation. It is this cooperation, above almost all else, that makes him the enemy of the deep establishment.

If Mr. Trump moves too quickly, he will not be able to withstand the tumultuous tsunami that is being gathered for a melancholy day of release; he must first cultivate relationships among international leaders who have a very different view on America and American Foreign Policy than that being fed to Mr. Trump by neocon war-hawks such as Sen. John McCain who recently discredited himself again by what he evaluated as an adroit move to pit Trump against Putin.

If Sen. McCain believes that Mr. Trump will buy into his most recent ploy, he must think the new president is a novice at chicanery — highly unlikely, it does not take philosophical or theological brilliance to be a master of practical politics — any successful business man can play quite well on his field.

What Happened?

During his campaign Mr. Trump alarmed Kiev by indicating that he would seek improved relations with Russia. Conflict is still underway in Eastern Ukraine where it erupted the day following an early term phone conversation between President Trump and President Putin. The violence was so great that it resulted in the highest casualty rate since the end of 2016

According to Sen McCain, Russia is experimenting with the new president to test his gullibility and his resolve to oppose a lying murderer like Vladimir Putin. Putin cannot be trusted and therefore the Ukraine should be supplied with lethal aid in order to route the pro-Russian rebels in Eastern Ukraine.

In a <u>letter released by Sen. McCain</u> to the United States President, McCain offered his specious version of events. From McCain's perspective,

"This surge of attacks began the day after he (Putin) talked with you by phone is a clear indication that Vladimir Putin is moving quickly to test you as commander in chief. America's response will have lasting consequences."

Obama provided Ukraine with non-lethal aid such as drones, radar, first aid equipment, communication apparatus etc. But McCain wants the new president to provide lethal assistance. According to the neocon war-hawk,

"Vladimir Putin's violent campaign to destabilize and dismember the sovereign nation of Ukraine will not stop unless and until he meets a strong and determined response."

It appears that Sen McCain lacks respect for the new president. Does he really expect Mr. Trump to believe that one day following a phone conversation with the President of Russia, that Russia would foment a violent military surge in the Ukraine thereby winning the ire of America's Commander and Chief, a man whom Putin has longed to talk with in order to facilitate cooperation in the Middle East against ISIS? Vladimir Putin has a reputation of being a very rational person; the act that the senator is accusing him of is very irrational. What does Putin gain from bluntly, boldly, and condescendingly lying to Trump? Sen. McCain's letter appears to be non-nonsensical and therefore to be treated as highly specious. It appears more likely that if anyone is testing the

new president, it is Sen. McCain himself.

The two leaders (Putin and Trump) engaged in conversation for nearly an hour, a conversation that VP Mike Pence was invited to sit in on. Afterward, the <u>Kremlin issued a statement in which they said</u>:

"...both sides showed their readiness for active, joint work to stabilize and develop Russian-American cooperation."

The White House referred to the talk as a "

"... significant start to improving a relationship 'in need of repair."

Who in their right mind would talk about repairing relationships, joint-work and cooperation by phone one day and the next blow the entire peace and cooperation process by ordering a major strike in an area of major contention? Apparently, Sen McCain and the American Intelligence Community along with the so-called "fake news" outlets used to leak their stories have become so accustomed to public manipulation that they think people will believe anything they say. Unfortunately, for them, people are waking up to the game — Newera is quite confident that the President of the United States is wide awake to it.

In the interim, President Trump will have to go along with many ruses, or apparently so, occasionally garnering support from his followers by delivering on select aspects of his campaign promises — enough to keep the sheep satisfied and the wolves at bay while he builds goodwill around the globe. At first, he might have to continue support for Qatar and Sauid Arabia and buttress the pillars of Zionism (political forces that all support terrorism and an equal disdain for Russia and her allies); nonetheless, if the new president plays his cards right, he will be able to Trump any hand. He will deliver on

his campaign promises but not in a way that is readily apparent. It is not time for fisticuffs, so yes, Newera does tend to believe that Mr. Trump has came out with a rope a dope in Round One, at least partially so. If he is able to eventually pound ISIS into oblivion (the sooner the better) with Russian cooperation, he will build up a tidal wall of good-will and support composed of many international components that spell peace, a peace woven into a wall that will be able to withstand any Tsunami the Deep State can bellow in his direction.

If President Trump collapses before the winds and succumbs to the mounting global pressures of liberalism and to those in his own administration, if he fails to deliver on his campaign promises and follows the lead of Neocon war-hawks like Sen. John McCain, New Era foresees an abject failure on the horizon and the ultimate collapse of American Foreign Policy and the waning of American influence.

Continued Tomorrow as Newera delves more deeply into this crucial issue at the forefront of the Trump presidency.