The Christian Renewal of Russia

We are living at a pivotal moment of human history in which the backward relationship between the secular and sacred, discussed in a previous article is being slowly reversed. That is, the spirit of nationalism (that reifies secular values, imbues them with a sacred identity, and thereby diminishes the voice of the Spirit) is being corrected by an authentic outpouring of the Spirit. In the process, the temporal public square, rather than being increasingly secularized, is being increasingly sacralized. It is being increasingly sacralized not by a religion that has become secularized, nor by a form of secular humanism that has become sacralized, but by authentic Trinitarian Christian renewal.[1] Although imperceptible in the West, this trend is increasingly evident in the East and is becoming more evident in Europe and throughout the globe.

According to Russian President, Vladimir Putin,

"We can see how many of the Euro-Atlantic countries are actually rejecting their roots, including the Christian values that constitute the basis of Western civilization. They are denying moral principles and all traditional identities: national, cultural, religious and even sexual. They are implementing policies that equate large families with same-sex partnerships, belief in God with the belief in Satan. The excesses of political correctness have reached the point where people are seriously talking about registering political parties whose aim is to promote pedophilia... I am convinced that this opens a direct path to degradation and primitivism, resulting in a profound demographic and moral crisis."[2] Therefore, Vladimir Putin has vowed that it will be one of the tasks of Russia's foreign policy to defend Christianity and Christians persecuted for their faith.

After Metropolitan Hilarion (Metropolitan of Volokolamsk, and Chairman of the Department of External Church Relations for the Russian Orthodox Church) informed Putin about the destruction of churches in Afghanistan, acts of terror committed against Christians in Syria and the bombing of churches in Iraq, he also expressed hope that the Russian government would defend persecuted Christians around the globe to which Putin replied,

"You needn't have any doubt that that's the way it will be."[3]

Likewise, Hungarian Foreign Minister Peter Szijjarto believes Russia should join with other nations to defend Christianity. In a meeting with Nikolay Fyodorov, Deputy Speaker of the Russian Federation Council, Szijjarto said

"Christian values should be protected, both in Europe and in the East. And I believe that Russia should play a key role here."[4]

Subsequently, over 50,000 Syrian Christians petitioned Russia for dual citizenship. In a letter to the Russian Foreign Ministry, the petitioners stated that,

"Russian citizenship would be an honor for any Syrian Christian who wished to acquire it."

The petitioners also stated that,

"Our appeal does not mean that we have any mistrust in the Syrian army or government. However, we are scared of the conspiracy of the West and hateful fanatics who are waging a brutal war against our country."

"It is for the first time since the Nativity of Christ that we Christians of Qalamoun living in the villages of Saidnaya, Maara Saidnaya, Maaloula and Maaroun are under threat of banishment from our land."

"We see the Russian Federation as a powerful factor of global peace and stability. Russia pursues a firm line in the defense of Syria, its people and its territorial integrity." [5]

Metropolitan Hilarion also believes that Orthodox and Catholic Christians should act together to defend the Christian faith.

"I would like to use this opportunity to call on my brethren from the Catholic Church to create a united front to defend Christian faith in all countries where it is persecuted and oppressed".

"We see that supporters of militant secularism and atheism in Europe and America are trying to cause Christian faith to leave the life of society, banish Christian symbols, destroy the traditional Christian understanding of family and marriage as a union between a man and a woman, and question the very value of human life, from its birth to its natural end."

"In Iraq, where up to 1.5 million Christians lived several years ago, only 150,000 Christians are left, and the others have been killed or driven away. There is intensive persecution of Christians going on in Egypt, Libya, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Nigeria, India, Indonesia, and other countries, both in the Middle East and in other regions."

"The Christian communities of Syria and other countries of the Middle East are crying for help, while the Western media are ignoring these cries for help. As for politicians, they are turning a blind eye to this unprecedented persecution." [6]

On March 13, 2015, Archbishop Silvano Tomasi, the Vatican's top diplomat at the United Nations in Geneva, presented a joint statement to the UN Human Rights Council entitled *"Supporting the Human Rights of Christians and Other Communities, particularly in the Middle East,"* along with a call for an international force to stop the Islamic State from further assaults on Christians in Iraq and Syria. Surprisingly, this is the first time ever that the plight of Christians has been addressed by the Human Rights Council.[7]

Equally surprising, the statement was initiated by Moscow in cooperation with the Vatican and Lebanon. According to Rev. Stefan Igumnov, Secretary of the Synodal Department for External Church Relations for the Russian Orthodox Church,

"We can expect that cooperation in this sphere between Russia and the Holy See will continue developing. Russia and the Vatican are very influential members of the UN and their joint actions aimed at protecting Christians, especially if they are joined by other countries, can be fruitful." [8]

Beginning with the collapse of communism in the 20th century as foretold by the Mother of God at Fatima, the modern era is increasingly typified by signs of a Christian renewal in Russia and further dissolution of the West in the ongoing 21st century collapse of liberalism foreshadowing the beginnings of formative change leading to a New Era. Pope Francis voiced this social-cultural verity in Cathedral Santa Maria del Fiore during his November 2015 visit to Florence:

"... It is not useful to search (any longer) for solutions in conservatism or fundamentalism,"....We are not living an era of change, but a change of era."

Thus, if what the pope is saying is true (and we have much reason to believe that it is), religious analysis can no longer be limited to the increasingly antiquated sociological perspective of secular political conserver (conserver of cultural, political, or economic liberalism). At moments such as these, to continue viewing religion through a political or sociological lens[9] inhibits a fuller and more comprehensive understanding of what in fact is really going on.

If the intent of a news and intelligence service is a fuller more objective analysis approximating truth (and not a slip into ideology, rigid nationalism, or a limited sociological understanding of religion and social institutions), religion should no longer be simply explained as a social construct, as a secular or social-cultural phenomenon reduced to the role of political legitimization. Rather, the Church should be increasingly viewed as a free and spontaneous actor and shaper of social policy, cultural identity, and historic destiny.

The world is on the cusp of a change in Era precipitated by divine providence, what the Mother of God identified at Fatima as an "Era of Peace".

Because of the Message of Fatima, Saint John Paul II consecrated the entire world to the Immaculate Heart of Mary and then Pope Francis did the same. Without understanding Fatima and the role of Divine Providence in modern affairs, the current changes underway (changes that are making American foreign policy and global politics increasingly difficult to grasp) cannot be properly understood. The modern world is moving away from its 18th-20th century moorings in secular liberalism toward a Christian spiritual renewal spearheaded by the Mother of God, the Queen of Peace.

At Fatima, the world was promised an "Era of Peace" beginning with the "conversion of Russia". The purveyors of liberalism are working hard to keep us at war and from knowledge of the ongoing Christian evangelization initiated by the Mercy of God beginning in Poland and the return of Russia to its Christian patrimony with all of its ramifications for global politics and spiritual renewal.

ENDNOTES

[1] For example, according to Patriarch Kirill Primate of Moscow and All Russia:

"It is very important that all healthy forces of the society today unite for the true revival of Russia. The Church, science, art, culture, education, sport — all these things should work for strengthening spiritual foundations of our person."

"The things which define the true revival are connected with the person's spiritual life."

Likewise, according to the President Putin, "First and foremost we should be governed by common sense. But common sense should be based on moral principles first. And it is not possible today to have morality separated from religious values."

(http://content.time.com/time/specials/2007/personoftheyear/ar ticle/0,28804,1690753_1690757_1695787-3,00.html)

"We stand against legalization and legal justification of homosexual 'marriages' and other outrages in the sphere of ethics" (Interfax, Dec. 9). [2] http://archive.larouchepac.com/node/28244

[3] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=9050

[4] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=12425

[5] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=10818

[6] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=10016

[7]

http://www.cruxnow.com/church/2015/03/13/vatican-backs-militar
y-force-to-stop-isis-genocide/

[8] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=12072

[9] Whereby authentic, not secular, religion is, in effect, removed from the public square and from political analysis.

THE CHRISTIAN RENEWAL OF RUSSIA

New Era World News

WE ARE LIVING AT A PIVOTAL MOMENT of human history in which the backward relationship between the secular and sacred, discussed in a previous article is being slowly reversed. That is, the spirit of nationalism (that reifies secular values, imbues them with a sacred identity, and thereby diminishes the voice of the Spirit) is being corrected by an authentic outpouring of the Spirit. In the process, the temporal public square, rather than being increasingly secularized, is being increasingly sacralized. It is being increasingly sacralized not by a religion that has become secularized, nor by a form of secular humanism that has become sacralized, but by authentic Trinitarian Christian renewal.[1] Although imperceptible in the West, this trend is increasingly evident in the East and is becoming more evident in Europe and throughout the globe.

According to Russian President, Vladimir Putin,

"We can see how many of the Euro-Atlantic countries are actually rejecting their roots, including the Christian values that constitute the basis of Western civilization. They are denying moral principles and all traditional identities: national, cultural, religious and even sexual. They are implementing policies that equate large families with same-sex partnerships, belief in God with the belief in Satan. The excesses of political correctness have reached the point where people are seriously talking about registering political parties whose aim is to promote pedophilia... I am convinced that this opens a direct path to degradation and primitivism, resulting in a profound demographic and moral crisis."[2]

Therefore, Vladimir Putin has vowed that it will be one of the tasks of Russia's foreign policy to defend Christianity and Christians persecuted for their faith.

After Metropolitan Hilarion (Metropolitan of Volokolamsk, and Chairman of the Department of External Church Relations for the Russian Orthodox Church) informed Putin about the destruction of churches in Afghanistan, acts of terror committed against Christians in Syria and the bombing of churches in Iraq, he also expressed hope that the Russian government would defend persecuted Christians around the globe to which Putin replied,

"You needn't have any doubt that that's the way it will be."[3]

Likewise, Hungarian Foreign Minister Peter Szijjarto believes Russia should join with other nations to defend Christianity. In a meeting with Nikolay Fyodorov, Deputy Speaker of the

Russian Federation Council, Szijjarto said

"Christian values should be protected, both in Europe and in the East. And I believe that Russia should play a key role here."[4]

Subsequently, over 50,000 Syrian Christians petitioned Russia for dual citizenship. In a letter to the Russian Foreign Ministry, the petitioners stated that,

"Russian citizenship would be an honor for any Syrian Christian who wished to acquire it."

The petitioners also stated that,

"Our appeal does not mean that we have any mistrust in the Syrian army or government. However, we are scared of the conspiracy of the West and hateful fanatics who are waging a brutal war against our country."

"It is for the first time since the Nativity of Christ that we Christians of Qalamoun living in the villages of Saidnaya, Maara Saidnaya, Maaloula and Maaroun are under threat of banishment from our land."

"We see the Russian Federation as a powerful factor of global peace and stability. Russia pursues a firm line in the defense of Syria, its people and its territorial integrity." [5]

Metropolitan Hilarion also believes that Orthodox and Catholic Christians should act together to defend the Christian faith.

"I would like to use this opportunity to call on my brethren

from the Catholic Church to create a united front to defend Christian faith in all countries where it is persecuted and oppressed".

"We see that supporters of militant secularism and atheism in Europe and America are trying to cause Christian faith to leave the life of society, banish Christian symbols, destroy the traditional Christian understanding of family and marriage as a union between a man and a woman, and question the very value of human life, from its birth to its natural end."

"In Iraq, where up to 1.5 million Christians lived several years ago, only 150,000 Christians are left, and the others have been killed or driven away. There is intensive persecution of Christians going on in Egypt, Libya, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Nigeria, India, Indonesia, and other countries, both in the Middle East and in other regions."

"The Christian communities of Syria and other countries of the Middle East are crying for help, while the Western media are ignoring these cries for help. As for politicians, they are turning a blind eye to this unprecedented persecution." [6]

On March 13, 2015, Archbishop Silvano Tomasi, the Vatican's top diplomat at the United Nations in Geneva, presented a joint statement to the UN Human Rights Council entitled "Supporting the Human Rights of Christians and Other Communities, particularly in the Middle East," along with a call for an international force to stop the Islamic State from further assaults on Christians in Iraq and Syria. Surprisingly, this is the first time ever that the plight of Christians has been addressed by the Human Rights Council.[7]

Equally surprising, the statement was initiated by Moscow in cooperation with the Vatican and Lebanon. According to Rev. Stefan Igumnov, Secretary of the Synodal Department for External Church Relations for the Russian Orthodox Church,

"We can expect that cooperation in this sphere between Russia and the Holy See will continue developing. Russia and the Vatican are very influential members of the UN and their joint actions aimed at protecting Christians, especially if they are joined by other countries, can be fruitful." [8]

Beginning with the collapse of communism in the 20th century as foretold by the Mother of God at Fatima, the modern era is increasingly typified by signs of a Christian renewal in Russia and further dissolution of the West in the ongoing 21st century collapse of liberalism foreshadowing the beginnings of formative change leading to a New Era. Pope Francis voiced this social-cultural verity in Cathedral Santa Maria del Fiore during his November 2015 visit to Florence:

"... It is not useful to search (any longer) for solutions in conservatism or fundamentalism,"....We are not living an era of change, but a change of era."

Thus, if what the pope is saying is true (and we have much reason to believe that it is), religious analysis can no longer be limited to the increasingly antiquated sociological perspective of secular political conserver (conserver of cultural, political, or economic liberalism). At moments such as these, to continue viewing religion through a political or sociological lens[9] inhibits a fuller and more comprehensive understanding of what in fact is really going on.

If the intent of a news and intelligence service is a fuller

more objective analysis approximating truth (and not a slip into ideology, rigid nationalism, or a limited sociological understanding of religion and social institutions), religion should no longer be simply explained as a social construct, as a secular or social-cultural phenomenon reduced to the role of political legitimization. Rather, the Church should be increasingly viewed as a free and spontaneous actor and shaper of social policy, cultural identity, and historic destiny.

The world is on the cusp of a change in Era precipitated by divine providence, what the Mother of God identified at Fatima as an "Era of Peace".

Because of the Message of Fatima, Saint John Paul II consecrated the entire world to the Immaculate Heart of Mary and then Pope Francis did the same. Without understanding Fatima and the role of Divine Providence in modern affairs, the current changes underway (changes that are making American foreign policy and global politics increasingly difficult to grasp) cannot be properly understood. The modern world is moving away from its 18th-20th century moorings in secular liberalism toward a Christian spiritual renewal spearheaded by the Mother of God, the Queen of Peace.

At Fatima, the world was promised an "Era of Peace" beginning with the "conversion of Russia". The purveyors of liberalism are working hard to keep us at war and from knowledge of the ongoing Christian evangelization initiated by the Mercy of God beginning in Poland and the return of Russia to its Christian patrimony with all of its ramifications for global politics and spiritual renewal.

ENDNOTES

[1] For example, according to Patriarch Kirill Primate of Moscow and All Russia:

"It is very important that all healthy forces of the society today unite for the

true revival of Russia. The Church, science, art, culture, education, sport – all these things should work for strengthening spiritual foundations of our person."

"The things which define the true revival are connected with the person's spiritual life."

Likewise, according to the President Putin, "First and foremost we should be governed by common sense. But common sense should be based on moral principles first. And it is not possible today to have morality separated from religious values."

(http://content.time.com/time/specials/2007/personoftheyear/article/0,28804,1690753_ 1690757_1695787-3,00.html)

"We stand against legalization and legal justification of homosexual 'marriages' and other outrages in the sphere of ethics" (Interfax, Dec. 9).

[2] http://archive.larouchepac.com/node/28244

[3] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=9050

[4] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=12425

[5] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=10818

[6] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=10016

[7]

http://www.cruxnow.com/church/2015/03/13/vatican-backs-military-force-to-stop-isis-g
enocide/

[8] http://www.interfax-religion.com/?act=news&div=12072

[9] Whereby authentic, not secular, religion is, in effect, removed from the public square and from political analysis.

Discussion Forum: America's Foundations A Masquerade of Light

<u>RETURN TO INTELLIGENCE REPORT</u> – <u>NEW ERA HOME PAGE</u> – <u>KOLBE FOUNDATION HOME PAGE</u>

The Church – Not America – is the "Light of the World"

Intelligence Report American Foundations #3

ALTHOUGH THE LIBERAL POLITICAL TRADITION is full of references to the "natural law", "virtue" and "human happiness", these time-honored concepts denote something quite different to men and women schooled in the Christian and classical philosophical tradition. This difference rests upon divergent liberal and classical conceptions of the human intellect and of the human person. Classical and Christian thinking about man and society begins with the work of the "speculative intellect", the part of the intellect that thinks about abstract universal spiritual substances such as God and the human soul. The speculative intellect endeavors to apprehend, understand, and conceptualize the inner nature of things, what they are (and what they are capable of becoming) when their innate potentials are actualized. The speculative intellect provides a universal definition of what a thing is, its essence. It is by knowledge of what a thing is, and of what its potentials are, that the speculative intellect is further able to derive knowledge of its ends, of what it capable of becoming. According to Classical and Christian philosophy, the universal definition of human nature provided by the speculative intellect is necessary for the work of the "practical intellect", which follows it. The job of the practical intellect, working from knowledge acquired from the speculative intellect, is to derive practical means calculated to achieve human ends, viz., the actualization of human potentials necessary to live a good life culminating in human happiness, which is the goal of politics. In short, the speculative intellect provides knowledge of human nature, its powers, operations and potentials, which all point towards its end: Happiness. Political thinkers tend to agree that happiness is the end of politics.

"Upon this point all speculative politicians will agree, that the happiness of society is the end of government, as all divines and moral philosophers will agree that the happiness of the individual is the end of man" (lohn Adams, "Thoughts on Government" Apr. 1776 Papers 4:86-93).

Thus, the American Framers identified the "pursuit of happiness" as an *inalienable right* and enshrined it in the "Declaration of Independence".

The natural law tradition rooted in *liberalism* understands that human action is aimed at happiness, but it tends to identify happiness as sentient pleasure disconnected from the spiritual soul . Because men and women schooled in the liberal tradition tend to disdain metaphysics and speculative philosophy, they do not know the essence of the human soul nor do they make any attempt to know; instead they rest content with knowledge gained form common-sense and practical everyday sentient observations of human actions (that is observations of the practical intellect unguided by prior metaphysical knowledge of the human soul derived from philosophy). This general regard for *common sense* accompanied by disdain for *metaphysics* is summed up well by Thomas Jefferson, one of the patriarchs of American liberalism:

"Laws are made for men of ordinary understanding and should, therefore, be construed by the ordinary rules of common sense. Their meaning is not to be sought for in metaphysical subtleties which may make anything mean everything or nothing at pleasure" (Thomas Jefferson letter to William Johnson, 1823).

Because adherents of the liberal school believe that common sense of the practical intellect is all there is, they are left to reason about man and society from everyday sentient observations unguided by philosophical insight about the nature of the human soul. Common sense observations are limited observations; they do not rise to any empirically or logically valid understanding of the universal nature of Such observations always substances. proceed from surface knowledge of thing apparent what а or person "appears to be", not necessarily, "what they are". They therefore possess a somewhat limited and particular degree of validity. This validity is increased when human behavior is observed. Because few human beings tend to pursue wisdom and live a life of virtue, lack of virtue becomes the observed norm and expectation.

Liberalism, due to its rejection of metaphysics, is left to articulate political ideas about the pursuit of happiness based solely upon limited observations of human behavior, often times corrupt human behavior. Liberal political philosophers, beginning with Machiavelli, term corrupt human action manifest in political behavior as "real politik", how men and women really act, not as they might act. These philosophers are not interested in human potential implicit in human nature; they are not interested in making men and women better. Rather, they accept men and women as they are or appear to be, *viz*., corrupt and underdeveloped. Political philosophers in the classical tradition do not ignore the persuasive force of *real politik*; nonetheless, they insist upon studying human nature and politics with the purpose of improving society by promotion of virtue, which, they argue, should guide the correct exercise of political

power. In short, liberal real politik is politics in its raw form starting with what men and women actually do, that is, from what they appear on the surface to be as determined by their political acts. Classical philosophers in the Christian and natural law tradition are well aware of real human limits; they factor them into their analysis. Nonetheless, they begin with a much deeper metaphysical perspective that provides a universal definition of human nature, something possessed by every human being, a summation of what they are by nature (not be mere observation) thereby culminating in knowledge of what every person is capable of becoming if their innate human potentials are properly nurtured and educated.

Liberals like Machiavelli and Jefferson, *et al*, begin their study of politics and human behavior without *first* attempting to know what a human being is. Rather, they began their study of politics with the presumption (based on common sense observations) of what a human being appears to be: an ungrateful and fickle deceiver who acts selfishly out of geed for profit:

"One can make this generalization about men: they are ungrateful, fickle, liars, and deceivers, they shun danger and are greedy for profit" (Machiavelli, The Prince, Chapter 17).

If this is true, every prince, according to Machiavelli, must be ready to act against virtue, if necessary.

"The fact is that a man who wants to act virtuously in every way necessarily comes to grief among so many who are not virtuous. Therefore if a prince wants to maintain his rule he must be prepared not to be virtuous" (The Prince, Chapter 15).

This "Machiavellian" or "modern approach" to *real politics* (an approach that begins with observation of human acts

usually done out of self-interest) contains a significant degree of truth, but it is, nonetheless, built upon a colossal deficiency, a deficiency that was recognized as early as the 4th century BC by "The Philosopher", Aristotle. Aristotle understood that, as a human science, politics rests upon an in-depth understanding of the human person, body and soul. Knowledge of the latter requires more than mere observation of everyday acts obtained by the senses and lower sentient mind. Knowledge of the human soul, and of the intellectual and moral virtues associated with it, is obtained though the study of metaphysics, which is properly speaking, the work of the "speculative intellect", which is properly ancillary to the study practical study of politics.

"By human virtue we mean not that of the body but that of the soul; and happiness also we call an activity of soul. But if this is so, clearly the student of politics must know somehow the facts about the soul, as the man who is to heal the eyes or the body as a whole must know about the eyes or the body; and all the more since politics is more prized and better than medicine" (Aristotle, Ethics Book I, Chapter 13).

Therefore,

"The student of politics...must study the soul" [1] before he begins his study of politics.

Because liberalism proceeds without any metaphysical knowledge of the soul (it doesn't study the soul at all), it is forced to begin political analysis with a potent yet deficient sentient based knowledge of the body complemented by a limited definition of human ends, what they appear to be on the surface": physical pleasure and avoidance of pain (more sophisticated liberals include *mental pleasures* such as peace, and a good conscience). Because it does not attain deeper understanding of human nature, of the human person, body and soul, liberalism is stripped of an ability to make accurate moral decisions based on the full actualization of inherent human potential (it does not know what this potential is). So deprived, the practical intellect is reduced to moral decision making by means of a probability calculus (because all practical decision making is based upon probability) rooted in the utilitarian principle of maximizing self-interest, which liberals reduce to material interests (including peace of mind) rather than the fuller actualization of a person's and spiritual potentials known only by the physical speculative intellect resulting in a much higher form of authentic "self interest" rooted in human nature (body and spiritual soul). Clearly, there is a difference in methodology. Classical political philosophy begins with metaphysics (the speculative intellect) and is open to input from the Christian faith. Liberal political philosophy proceeds from *real politik*, the practical intellect unaided by metaphysics and disdainful of the Christian faith, at least in the public forum, which is the forum of politics and political action. [2]

Pope Benedict XVI discussed this reduction of human thinking from a healthy synthesis of speculative and practical intellect working together to a diminished form of thinking consisting of practical intellect working alone. Benedict, in his "Regensburg Address", examined the diminution of reason initiated by Protestant Reformers who, in their zeal for faith and scripture discarded the study of metaphysics, thereby leaving *faith alone;* that is, no longer aided by philosophy and the speculative intellect. Protestant divines, who successfully conducted the intellectual severance of the practical intellect from the speculative, in the 16th century, were philosophically unarmed and unprepared for the onslaught of modern philosophy (liberalism), which assailed them in the difficult 18th century during which they unsuccessfully confronted deism, practical atheism, American Epicureanism and Stoicism. All the great political questions floating on the difficult philosophical waters of the 18th century demanded a

command of metaphysics, which the Protestant divines had scuttled two hundred years earlier. If the Protestants and Catholic clergy had harnessed forces, the unfortunate secularization that was about to occur could have been avoided.

When, in 1789, the American founders privatized religion and then subsequently made education a public affair, they initiated a long process of ever-increasing secularization that is still bearing its irreverent and unholy fruits. Due to the excommunication of philosophy from Protestant culture and the privatization of religion as a result of the First Amendment, the young Christian nation was left without a sufficient anecdote for what was beginning to happen in the federal and state governments and in the public schools: Education, increasingly divorced from religion and subjected to a sophisticated regiment of secular practical reason (the philosophy of liberalism) alienated from *both* (1) speculative philosophy (metaphysics) and (2) the bulwark of Christian faith, education under these circumstances could not provide sufficient reasonable answers to pressing questions such as the spiritual nature of the human person and the divine origin of the universe^[3]. The combined lack of philosophy and theology, in the schools and broader political arena, inevitably led to a rising tide of materialism in the social, behavioral, and life sciences, which were not long after inundated with Marx, Freud, and Darwin, without Augustine, Aquinas or Aristotle to help.

Pope Benedict XVI recognized the ill-fated bifurcation of theology *and* philosophy, of speculative reason from practical reason:

"Looking at the tradition of scholastic theology, the Reformers (incorrectly) thought they were confronted with a (Catholic) faith system conditioned by philosophy....The principle of sola scriptura, on the other hand, sought faith in its pure, primordial, form, as originally found in the biblical Word. Metaphysics appeared as a premise derived from another source, from which faith had to be liberated in order to become once more fully itself" (Regensberg Address, Sept. 12, 2006).

As if to prove Benedict's point, Martin Luther (a leading Reformer) did little to mask his contempt for speculative reason and scholastic metaphysics.

"Since the devil's bride, (speculative) Reason, that pretty whore, comes in and thinks she's wise, and what she says, what she thinks, is from the Holy Spirit, who can help us, then? Not judges, not doctors, no king or emperor, because [speculative reason] is the Devil's greatest whore."

Elsewhere,

"Reason is a whore, the greatest enemy that faith has; it never comes to the aid of spiritual things, but more frequently than not struggles against the divine Word, treating with contempt all that emanates from God."

Unfortunately, like Luther, many American Protestants failed to see that "reason" rather than being faith's "greatest enemy" was indeed, one of the faiths "greatest friends" (*fides et ratio*); without which America's Protestant communities would succumb in their soon to be bout with secularist and materialist philosophers who dotted America's Northeastern shores. Inspired Christian successors of the Pilgrims and Puritans, and those who had come to life during the "Great Awakening"[4], were soon to see who their real enemies were – men whom they oftentimes thought were their friends.

It was not long after, in the 18th century,

"When Kant stated that he needed to set (speculative) thinking aside in order to make room for faith, he carried this programme (of divorcing faith and reason) forward with a radicalism that the Reformers could never have foreseen. He thus anchored faith exclusively in practical reason[5], denying it access to reality as a whole" (Pope Benedict XVI).[6]

Kant, and then 18th century deists and associated philosophers, like Luther before them, upheld practical reason but, unlike Luther, they further extracted the supernatural elements from the faith thereby leaving only a rational moral system based on practical reason and experience alone without the corresponding support of the supernatural aspects of the Christian faith.[7] First, the Reformers extracted metaphysics and then the 18th century philosophers extracted faith itself and all of its sacred mysteries. In the process, Jesus was "presented as the father of a humanitarian moral message" (Pope Benedict XVI) and Christianity was brought into

"...harmony with modern reason, (seemingly) liberating it (Christianity)... from seeming philosophical and theological elements such as faith in Christ's divinity and the triune God (a few others include the incarnation virgin birth and resurrection" (Pope Benedict XVI).

According to Kant, and to later thinkers such as Thomas Jefferson[8],

"What (the New Testament) is able to say critically about Jesus is, so to speak, an expression of practical reason...Behind this thinking lies the modern self-limitation of reason (no faith), classically expressed in Kant's 'Critiques'" (Pope Benedict XVI, Regenesburg Address).

Thus, there was no longer any recourse to faith or to metaphysics. Jefferson despised metaphysics as much as Kant or Luther. According to Jefferson, metaphysics was for the

"insane":

"The metaphysical insanities of Athanasius, of Loyola, and of Calvin (Protestants and Catholics), are, to my understanding, mere relapses into polytheism, differing from paganism only by being more unintelligible."[9]

When it came to faith and reason, the Protestants, in Jefferson's mind, did not fare any better than the Catholics:

"It would be more pardonable to believe in no god at all, than to blaspheme him by the atrocious attributes of Calvin."

"The truth is that the greatest enemies to the doctrines of Jesus are those calling themselves the expositors of them, who have perverted them for the structure of a system of fancy absolutely incomprehensible, and without any foundation in his genuine words. And the day will come when the mystical generation of Jesus, by the supreme being as his father in the womb of a virgin will be classed with the fable of the generation of Minerva in the brain of Jupiter. But we may hope that the dawn of reason and freedom of thought in these United States will do away with all this artificial scaffolding, and restore to us the primitive and genuine doctrines (known by reason) of this the most venerated reformer of human errors."[10]

Revealed mysteries such as the Trinity are simply "artificial scaffolding" that must be "done away with" by the "dawn of reason" freed from both the speculative intellect and the "atrocious attributes" of faith. For Kant, and later for deists and epicureans such as Jefferson[11], normative judgments, such as the morals of Jesus, are derived by practical reason alone and thus have nothing to do with mysteries of revealed religion and therefore do not require faith. Moreover, because they lack a metaphysical foundation, practical moral judgments, made by men such as these, are not

derived from universal norms rooted in human nature (as much as they might claim to be), nor are they derived, as stated, from the sacred precincts of the Christian faith. In short, the leading political "lights" of the 18th century had reverted to practical pagan Roman philosophy devoid of the Christian faith and shorn of its Athenian metaphysical moorings established by Aristotle. All that is left is practical thinking!

Shorn of these moorings, practical reason is divorced from faith and no longer synchronized with speculative reason; it is not rooted in anything deeper than *common sense*.

The Founding Fathers consumed bottles of ink writing about virtue and philosophy. Virtue however, is difficult to understand and even more difficult to acquire; in the last analysis, the practical intellect is not adroit enough to withstand the concupiscence of the flesh. Because it is rooted in nothing deeper than common sense, practical reason is easy to dissuade. Because it does not carry with it any eternal sanctions (no faith, no heaven, no hell) or universal metaphysical truths about human nature (and unchanging intellectual and moral virtues based on this knowledge), it is "free" to justify just about anything or any course of action, because just about anything can be made to sound reasonable (at least practically reasonable). Practical reason can be employed much like a psychological "defense mechanism". Τn fact, psychoanalysts have a name for this defense conjured by the unconscious in cooperation with the practical intellect: "Rationalization". Benjamin Franklin provides an illustrative example of rationalization at work in the practical intellect taken from his autobiography:

"Hitherto I had stuck to my resolution of not eating animal food, and on this occasion I considered, with my master Tryon, the taking every fish as a kind of unprovoked murder, since none of them had or ever could do us any injury that might justify the slaughter. All this seemed very reasonable. But I had formerly been a great lover of fish, and when this (fish) came hot out of the frying-pan, it smelt admirably well. I balanced sometime between principle and inclination, till I recollected that, when the fish were opened, I saw smaller fish taken out of their stomachs. Then thought I, "If you eat one another, I don't see why we mayn't eat you." So I dined upon cod very heartily,... so convenient a thing it is to be a reasonable creature, since it enables one to find or make a reason for everything one has a mind to do".[12]

It seems that Ben Franklin had no qualms about violating a previously held principle as long as he could find a "reason", any suitable reason. This helps explain why Mr. Franklin understood "venery" to be a virtue when exercise with moderation[13] while metaphysicians like Aristotle thought it a vice, and Christian theologians and *common folk* think it a sin. Even the great Cicero, pagan philosopher of Rome, recognized this problem:

"It is as much as I can do to endure, a philosopher speaking of the necessity of setting bounds to the desires (inordinate passions). Is it possible to set bounds to the desires? I say that they must be banished, eradicated by the roots. For what man is there in whom appetites dwell, who can deny that he may with propriety be called appetitive? If so, he will be avaricious, though to a limited extent; and an adulterer, but only in moderation; and he will be luxurious (wanton) in the same manner. Now what sort of a philosophy is that which does not bring with it the destruction of depravity, but is content with a moderate degree of vice?"[14]

- So much for morality derived from practical reason.

Classical moral philosophy of men like Cicero and mystical spirituality articulated by the Masters of the Spiritual life, such as Saint John of the Cross, quite escape carnal minded men. "The necessity to pass through this dark night (the mortification of the appetites and denial of inordinate bodily pleasures) to attain divine union with God arises from the fact that all of a person's attachments to creatures are pure darkness in God's sight. Clothed in these affections, people are incapable of the enlightenment and dominating fullness of God's pure and simple light; first they must reject them. There can be no concordance between light and darkness; as St. John (the evangelist) says: Tenebrae eam no comprehenderunt (The darkness could not receive the light) [Jn. 1:5].[15]

From the 18th century forward, American political leaders infected with liberalism derived their moral judgments from practical reason by means of practical mental calculations severed from philosophical understanding of the human soul and further divorced from the Christian faith. They increasingly embraced the darkness of the New Order of the Ages, which they mistook for light and thought it their duty to pass it on to the rest of us. As long as the practical intellect can convince its owner that (1) his motives are derived from pure civic love of country and pursuit of science, that (2) his passions are under the control of (practical) reason, and (3) as long as he is able to avoid the appearance of any impropriety, then he can account himself virtuous without actually being virtuous as understood by authentic philosophers (not mere dilettantes) and Christian theologians.

"A prince, therefore, need not necessarily have all the good qualities.., but he should certainly appear to have them.... He should know how to do evil, if that is necessary" (The Prince, Chapter 18).

The growth of liberalism and its faulty understanding of the natural law and of human nature divorced from metaphysics and also from faith, was unwittingly facilitated by the Reformers who ridiculed philosophy while praising human liberty manifest in their false exaltation of "freedom" necessary to justify the *private interpretation* of scripture contrary to scripture itself. Both common sense and the scriptures reveal that neither they nor any of many arbitrary and widely varying claims to inspiration from the Holy Spirit are the bulwark of Clearly the "freedom" to privately interpret truth. scriptures cannot be the bulwark of truth, such so-called freedom has resulted in over 20,00 divergent and contentious sets all claiming to have the truth. Nor are the scriptures themselves the bulwark of truth. Rather, as the scriptures state, the "church" is the only legitimate interpreter of revelation and the "pillar and support of truth" (1 Timothy 3:15). The scriptures proscribe private interpretation, "No prophecy of scripture is of private interpretation" (2 Peter 1:20). No scripture is of private interpretation because scripture is difficult to understand:

"Our beloved brother Paul, according to the wisdom given to him, also wrote to you, speaking of these things as he does in all his letters. In them there are some things hard to understand that the ignorant and unstable distort to their own destruction, just as they do the other scriptures" (2 Peter 3: 15-16).

Strangely, the Reformers reduced human thinking by divorcing it from (speculative) reason (philosophy) and then compounded the problem by elevating human liberty so high that they placed the understanding of sublime mysteries in untutored but "free" minds who subsequently came up with some pretty crazy things; in this they were acting in agreement with the principle of liberty advocated by the liberals; in this they unconsciously aided and abetted the growth of liberalism and the craze for unbridled freedom that they so ardently oppose.

Even Martin Luther had to acknowledge that liberty of interpretation when left in the hands of "every man" led to

unforeseen difficulties, as when the peasants of Germany rose up against their overlords on the authority of their own private interpretation of scripture.

"They cloak this terrible and horrible sin with the Gospel, call themselves 'Christian brethren', receive oaths and homage, and compel people to hold with them to these abominations. Thus they become the greatest of all blasphemers of God and slanderers of his holy Name, serving the devil, under the outward appearance of the Gospel, thus earning death in body and soul ten times over. I have never heard of a more hideous sin. See what a mighty prince the devil is, how he has the world in his hands and can throw everything into confusion".[16]

It is not difficult to understand the disdain in which the confused emotionally charged farmers and frontiersmen (the democratic minded "New Lights" awakened by first Protestant revival), or the cold sect of intellectuals and judgmental Puritans (the more stern and authoritarian minded "Old Lights",) were held by many of the founding fathers who prided themselves as "natural aristocrats"[17] on the sophistication of their philosophy, their intellectual attainment, genteel manners, calm comportment, their warm cordiality, broad toleration and acquired talent.

The final jolt to 18th century Christian morality (which was being sustained with difficulty by the Congregational Old and New Lights, on a diet of faith alone exacerbated by the flood ecclesial competition and associated contradictions let loose by the further multiplication and democratization of competing Protestant sects unschooled in philosophy and formed almost exclusively on private interpretations of scripture) came when America's Protestants ran into the American Philosophers, Deists, and Epicureans of the "Enlightenment" who being extremely sophisticated dilettantes deeply rooted in philosophy, disdainers of the faith, and experts in the craft, "took them for a philosophical ride" on the constitutional train to secularism in the name of "nature's God".

The Protestants were suffering from faulty and divisive private interpretation, excessive notions of liberty, and a disdain for all things Catholic and metaphysical. Like them, the leading lights among the secular leaders disdained metaphysics and the Catholic faith as well. But the leading political lights called themselves, "philosophers". Unfortunately, unlike the Catholic philosophers who had gone before them, these men, proceeded solely by means of practical cut off from spiritual understanding (either reason theological or metaphysical). Practical philosophy reached such heights that it became the arrogant arbiter of political thinking and even of the Christian faith; thereby leading men such as Thomas Jefferson, who opposed the Christian faith, arrogantly confered upon themselves the authority to interpret scripture, something they denied to Catholic priests and Protestant ministers whom they variously referred to as yahoos, and Antichrists" as we shall see in other Intelligence Reports.

"Making good on a promise to a friend to summarize his views on Christianity, Thomas Jefferson set to work with scissors, snipping out every miracle and inconsistency he could find in the New Testament Gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. Then, relying on a cut-and-paste technique, he reassembled the excerpts into what he believed was a more coherent narrative and pasted them onto blank paper – alongside translations in French, Greek and Latin".

Jefferson insisted that he knew the mind of Jesus better than any apostle, doctor, father, saint or clergyman. Not even Luther attempted anything as brazen. In Jefferson's own words:

"We must reduce our volume (of the bible) to the simple evangelists, select, even from them, the very words only of Jesus, paring off the amphibologisms into which they have been led, by forgetting often, or not understanding, what had fallen from him, by giving their own misconceptions as his dicta, and expressing unintelligibly for others what they had not understood themselves. There will be found remaining the most sublime and benevolent code of morals which has ever been offered to man. I have performed this operation for my own use, by cutting verse by verse out of the printed book, and arranging the matter which is evidently his, and which is as easily distinguishable as diamonds in a dunghill."

So from the Jeffersonian perspective the apostles, the first pillars of the church, and the evangelists, who wrote the gospels, were ignorant men whose additions to the bible are anything but inspired because, according to Jefferson, and men like him, the evangelist's scriptural writings are equivalent to a pile of "dung". Deists, like Jefferson, arrogantly claimed to have wisdom enough to know the true teachings of Jesus Christ (something they denied to the apostles and church fathers) based upon the use of practical reason, which they held to be superior to both Christian philosophy and theology, to speculative reason enlightened by, and working in unison with, supernatural faith.

They called themselves "philosophers" and "philosophes", and to be sure, there is such a thing as a "false philosophy" rooted in vain deceit "according to the tradition of men" and an antithetical "true philosophy" rooted in truth and "according to Christ":

"Beware lest any man cheat you by philosophy, and vain deceit; according to the tradition of men, according to the elements of the world, and not according to Christ" (Colossians 2:8).

So, there is a *false philosophy* according to men and a *true philosophy* according to Christ. The Philosophes, materialists,

Epicureans and Deists were all self-styled "philosophers", but what they taught by deceit according to human traditions, and elements of the world was not according to Christ. Because the native Christians were often frontiersman and yeoman farmers unschooled in Christian philosophy, they were not only ridiculed by the sophisticated whiged Philosophers, who enjoyed Roman toga parties in their classically designed estates situated along the shores of the Potomac, they were also deceived into accepting a secular government by educated men using such concepts as God and virtue as well as the name of Jesus in their writings. The Christian philosophy of such men as Saints Thomas Aquinas and Augustine, men who honored God and stood in awe of the Trinity and the ethereal mysteries of the faith were ridiculed and disdained by their Protestant brothers, but, as time would tell, to the latter's chagrin.

In summary, the Classical and Catholic understanding of the human soul, of natural law, and of nature, in short of metaphysics, was diminished by the growth of liberalism, which was aided by the reduction of theology to private scripture studies and individual interpretations exacerbated by the rejection of classical philosophy, thereby leaving Protestant ministers unprepared for the philosophical onslaught of the anti-Christian philosophers who appeared in the garb of godly men, even of Christian men, but who were in actuality disdainers of Christianity. This first step was followed by a second, whereby the tenets of faith were reduced to practical reason followed by the secularizing of politics and the subsequent removal of religion from the public realm (not the realm of culture *per se*, but the public realm of government) by liberal political philosophers, many of whom soon took hold reins of government, a government which has of the subsequently done little to promote Christianity but much to inhibit it. These historical facts coupled with the universal mandate for public education under the auspices of the state, have, overtime, led to the secularization of American religion and the increased fusion of church and state. This is not the

type of theocratic fusion envisioned by the Puritans, but a secular fusion giving rise to a "civic religion" that might be termed "Americanism" (also explored more deeply in other Intelligence Reports that follow).

"The interesting fact historically is that these two antirationalist traditions-that of the liberal skeptic and the Protestant revelationist- should originally have come from two opposite views of man. The Protestant dependence upon revelation arose from a great pessimism about human nature. . . . The immediately apprehended values of the liberal originate in a great optimism. Yet . . . after all, is not the dominating tradition in North America a Protestantism which has been transformed by pragmatic technology and liberal aspirations?[18]

Due to this process of liberal transformation, the theological principle of charity, the fruit of faith, along with metaphysical knowledge of the soul, and the philosophical principle of justice (rooted in Christian faith and ontologically written into human nature) have been swallowed whole, undigested, and regurgitated as "philanthropy" and goodism", as modern secular "do "liberalism" and "conservatism", political programs articulated with religious fervor. Following the privatization of religion, the removal of philosophy from public education and the reduction of metaphysics to private Catholic colleges, subsequent moral and political decision making has been necessarily limited to the calculative work of the practical intellect divorced from both metaphysics and from faith, which have become private affairs. Faith was completely removed form public education and metaphysics was replaced by the uniquely Anglo-American liberal philosophy of utility and the misunderstood principles of natural law, liberty, self-interest and the pursuit of happiness. Given these historical verities, it is not surprising that both the right and left wings of both Protestant and Catholic churches in America have become

increasingly supportive of various liberal American ideals morphing with them into a new civic or, what Abraham Lincoln referred to as, a new "political religion", a religion replete with its own saints, altar and sacrifice:

"Let every American, every lover of liberty, every well wisher to his posterity, swear by the blood of the Revolution, never to violate in the least particular, the laws of the country; and never to tolerate their violation by others. As the patriots of seventy-six died to the support of the Declaration of Independence, so to the support of the Constitution and Laws, let every American pledge his life, his property, and his sacred honor.... Let reverence for the laws, be breathed by every American mother, to the lisping babe, that prattles on her lap-let it be taught in schools, in seminaries, and in colleges; let it be written in Primers, spelling books, and in Almanacs;—let it be preached from the pulpit, proclaimed in legislative halls, and enforced in courts of justice. And, in short, let it become the political religion of the nation; and let the old and the young, the rich and the poor, the grave and the gay, of all sexes and tongues, and colors and conditions, sacrifice unceasingly upon its altars".[19]

To the extent that Catholics and their Protestant "brothers" in the faith, on both sides of the political spectrum, continue to believe that America, rather than (or more than) the Church is the "City set on a Hilltop" (and thus adopt secular American values such as feminism, same sex marriage, unregulated markets, contraception, and Neoconservative foreign policy), they can be counted as disciples of the new secular religion more than disciples of Jesus Christ.

ENDNOTES:

[1] *ibid*

[2] Classical political philosophy is an exercise of the practical intellect, which is subsequent to and dependent upon metaphysics apprehended and understood by the speculative intellect; it is an integral approach to politics. Liberalism begins with the practical intellect, with man as he appears to be, and thus is a more limited approach.

[3] The secular philosophers of the modern world were craftily challenging them, but they did not have the gift of philosophy to sustain them in the match and religion was not allowed in the public arena where the debates were occurring.

[4] A period in the early 18th century typified by emotional release experienced in Protestant communities. The Great Awakening emphasized, broader private interpretation of scriptures by members of the congregation vis a vis top-down control that had been exercised by clerical elites. The Great Awakening might simply be referred to as the further democratization of the Protestant faith in America. An appeal to the masses to wake up and express their faith, an appeal to bring vivacity and spirit to the Gospels, to make them more alive and less intellectually cold. The Great Awakening thus occurred at an opportune time for the American Revolution, which was also an appeal to the masses for more democracy, an appeal to wake up against the elitism of English monarchs and aristocrats who were stifling the common spirit.

[5] That is, Kant not only dumped metaphysics (a feat easily accomplished because of what the Reformers had previously done to metaphysics), he went much further, and reduced faith a matter of practical reason. After removing speculative reason and the mysteries of the faith, all that was left was practical reason. Kant thus fused faith and reason until they were no longer distinct.

[6]

http://w2.vatican.va/content/benedict-xvi/en/speeches/2006/sep tember/documents/hf_ben-xvi_spe_20060912_university-

regensburg.html

"We certainly fall into error if we think reason can know a world beyond the senses. Indeed, Kant insists that such knowledge would corrupt practical reasoning, by imposing an external incentive for moral action—fear of eternal punishment and hope of heavenly reward, what he will later call "heteronomy." Nonetheless, human reason still has an unavoidable interest in belief in God, immortality and freedom. Kant develops this claim more systematically in the second Critique" (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy).

[7] This was the state of morality when seized upon by the deists, epicureans, materialists, among America's founding elite who accepted the moral code implicit in Christianity because it was "reasonable" but rejected the central mysteries, such as the incarnation and resurrection, because, they insisted, they were not reasonable.

[8] Jefferson, upon retirement, made it his project to decide which parts of the bible were the true teachings of Jesus and which were added later by the "untutored apostles." He cut verse by verse from the Gospels using practical reason as his guide to abstract all the sacred mysteries that ran contrary to his practical reason (but not to metaphysics, which Jefferson lacked). As a result of this sacrilegious exercise Jefferson was able to distinguish "what is really his (Jesus') from the rubbish in which it is buried."

[9] Thomas Jefferson, letter to Rev. Jared Sparks, November 4, 1820.

[10] Thomas Jefferson letter to John Adams, April 11, 1823.

[11] In a letter to William Short (1819), Jefferson proclaimed his allegiance to the philosophy of Epicurus: "As you say of yourself, I too am an Epicurean. I consider the genuine (not the imputed) doctrines of Epicurus as containing everything rational in moral philosophy which Greece and Rome have left us.

[12]

http://www.ushistory.org/franklin/autobiography/page18.htm

[13] Franklin Autobiography: http://www.ushistory.org/franklin/autobiography/page38.htm

[14] Marcus Tullius Cicero: Second Book "Of The Treatise On The Chief Good And Evil" (Treatise de Finibus).

[15] Saint John of the Cross: Chapter Four, "The Ascent of Mt. Carmel".

[16] Against the Robbing and Murdering Herd of Peasants (May, 1525).

[17] According to Jefferson their was both a natural and a pseudo aristocracy: "There is a natural aristocracy among men. The grounds of this are virtue and talents... There is also an artificial aristocracy founded on wealth and birth, without either virtue or talents; for with these it would belong to the first class. The natural aristocracy I consider as the most precious gift of nature for the instruction, the trusts, and government of society."

[18] George P. Grant, "Plato and Popper," The Canadian Journal of Economics and Political Science (May 1954): 191-92.

[19] Abraham Lincoln, July 27, (1838) The Perpetuation of Our Political Institutions: Address Before the Young Men's Lyceum of Springfield, Illinois. http://www.abrahamlincolnonline.org/lincoln/speeches/lyceum.ht m

Second Visit Our Lady of Fatima June 13, 1917

New Era World News

SIGNIFICANTLY THE FIRST APPARITION of the Virgin Mary, May 13, 1917, ended, as it had with the Angel of Peace in 1916: with adoration and love of the Blessed Sacrament.

"Oh, Most Holy Trinity, I adore You! My God, my God, I love You in the most Blessed Sacrament!"

Afterward, the Virgin Mary concluded Her first visit with one additional request. She asked the children to continue praying the rosary.

Thus, She linked the Rosary and the Eucharist, as St. John Bosco had linked devotion to Mary, "Auxilium Christianorum" (Help of Christians) and the Body, Blood, Soul and Divinity of Jesus Christ in his well-known dream of the two pillars. In effect, the Virgin Mary was beginning to unfold the relationship between the Eucharist and the Rosary as the supernatural means offered by the Holy Trinity and the Queen of Heaven to obtain world peace:

"Pray the rosary every day in order to obtain peace for the world and the end of the war."

One month later, June, 1917, after completing their rosary, the three children once more saw a flash of light reflecting the Light that was proceeding toward them. Then **Our Lady appeared on the same holmoak** tree as She had previously. The dialogue began in this fashion:

"Please tell me, Madam, what it is that you want of me?"

"I want you to come here on the thirteenth of next month."

"I want you to continue saying the Rosary every day. And after each one of the mysteries, my children, I want you to pray in this way: 0 my Jesus, forgive us our sins , save us from the fire of hell. Take all souls to heaven, especially those who are most in need."

"I want you to learn to read and write, and later I will tell you what else I want of you".

She said that She would not tell them until "later" what more She wanted.

She told them that Jacinta and Francisco would soon go to heaven, but that Lucia was to stay longer because it was Jesus' wish that she remain on earth longer to make the Lady known and loved and to "establish devotion to her Immaculate Heart":

"Will you take us to heaven?"

"Yes, I shall take Jacinta and Francisco soon, but you will remain a little longer, since Jesus wishes you to make me known and loved on earth. He wishes also for you to establish devotion in the world to my Immaculate Heart."

"Must I remain in the world alone?"

She told Lucia not to despair because She herself would comfort her and that Her "Immaculate Heart would be Lucia's refuge and the way that will lead to God.

Not alone, my child, and you must not be sad. I will be with

you always, and my Immaculate Heart will be your comfort and the way which will lead you to God."

The moment She said the last words, the Woman opened Her hands



and communicated the rays of that same immense light by which the children saw themselves immersed in God during Her first visit. Jacinta and Francisco seemed to be in that part of the light that rose toward heaven, as Our Lady had foretold, and Lucia in the rays poured out upon the earth where she was to remain in order to spread devotion to the "Immaculate Heart."

Then, elevated in front of Our Lady's right palm they saw Her Immaculate Heart encircled by thorns that pierced it.

The three understood that they were being shown "The Immaculate Heart of Mary, outraged by the sins of humanity and seeking reparation."

The apparition then concluded as on the first visit: The Virgin Mary rose to the east and disappeared in the "immensity of heaven."

LESSONS

The children learn that **Our Lady, like the Holy Trinity, is outraged by the sins of humanity** and like the Holy Trinity, seeking reparation.

Before revealing Her Immaculate Heart, the children are told that the Trinity wants to establish devotion to it and that Lucia is to be the prime instrument for this purpose. She also **began to prepare Jacinta and Francisco**, as She prepares each of Her children, **for their upcoming deaths**.

They were also prepared for their ministry of reparation, to suffer out of love for God and neighbor, they were prepared for this by being immersed in the grace of God communicated by the Our Lady, She who suffers with God, wills what God wills, and reveals Her own Heart pierced with sorrow for the sins of humanity, a Heart foretold in Scripture:

"Simeon blessed them and said to Mary his mother, "Behold, this child is destined for the fall and rise of many in Israel, and to be a sign that will be contradicted and you yourself a sword will pierce so that the thoughts of many hearts may be revealed" (Luke 2:34-35).

The Angel of Peace had already informed the children of the existence of the Sacred and Immaculate Hearts during the third apparition in 1916:

"Most Holy Trinity, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, I adore you profoundly, and I offer You the most precious Body, Blood, Soul and Divinity of Jesus Christ present in all the tabernacles of the world, in reparation for the outrages, sacrileges and indifference with which He Himself is offended. And through the infinite merits of **His most Sacred Heart**, and the **Immaculate Heart of Mary**, I beg of you the conversion of poor sinners."

Months later, the Immaculate Heart is revealed to them. Of course, the children are *indirectly* being given the identity of the Woman because the angel had already told them that the Immaculate Heart belonged to the "Mary" evident in the final sentence above.

Thus, we can expect God to foreshadow, unfold and progressively reveal future events to His children (those who

listen to Him, love Him and put His words into action) so that little by little begin meditating on and preparing for them before they are more fully revealed and before they take place.

"I have yet many things to say to you: but you cannot bear them now. But when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will teach you all truth. For he shall not speak of himself; but what things soever he shall hear, he shall speak; and **the things that are to come, he shall shew you**" (John 16:12-13).

This however, is a gift reserved to His children, those "little ones" who love Him and therefore participate with Him in the Divine plan to overcome the world and participate with Him in the work of human redemption and sanctification.

"And he said to them: I saw Satan like lightening falling from heaven. Behold, I have given you power to tread upon serpents and scorpions, and upon all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall hurt you.

"In that same hour, he rejoiced in the Holy Ghost, and said: I confess to thee, O Father, Lord of heaven and earth, because thou hast hidden these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them to little ones. Yea, Father, for so it hath seemed good in thy sight" (Luke 10:19-21).

Fatima is for little ones, humble servants of God who seek His glory and not their own, who offer themselves as other Christs for the sins of humanity. This mission involves much suffering endured by deep compassion that encourages, heroic willingness to do penance for love of others.