## Revolt Against the Kingdom of God

American Foundations Intelligence Report # 8

WHO IS THIS "LADY LIBERTY" pictured above and who were the fabled "Sons of Liberty" who sparked a revolution in her name? These are related questions. To see how they are related requires a thorough scrutiny of the motives behind American Revolution, which was more than a mortal combat fought for independence from Great Britain; the American Revolution was a combat in the name of freedom for the hearts and minds of men. Thus, in the words of John Adams, the "real revolution" was,

"...in the minds and hearts of the people, a change in their religious sentiments of their duties and obligations....This radical change in the principles, opinions, sentiments, and affections of the people, was the real American Revolution." [1]

And exactly what sentiments, principles, and opinions was Adams talking about?

"Those principles and feelings" that could "be traced back for two hundred years and sought in the history of the country from the first plantations in America."[2]

More precisely, the Christian ideas of divine sovereignty, divine law, and the moral precepts drawn from the sacred scriptures (<u>outlined in Intelligence Report #1</u>) that the Pilgrims and other settlers had brought with them to the new world to establish Christian "plantations" (commonwealths) throughout North America; these had to undergo so radical a change that it would require a revolution in the name of "Liberty".

Adams was not alone in this revolutionary cabal. Thomas Jefferson was just as adamant. The revolution was, more than anything else, a campaign to remove the constricting shackles of Christian dogma imposed by ignorant Protestant ministers and plotting Catholic priests because they were both "hostile to liberty":

"In every country and in every age, the priest has been hostile to liberty. He is always in alliance with the Despot abetting his abuses in return for protection to his own....They have perverted the purest religion ever preached to man, into mystery & jargon unintelligible to all mankind & therefore the safer engine for their purposes."[3]

"Millions of innocent men, women, and children, since the introduction of Christianity, have been burnt, tortured, fined, imprisoned; yet we have not advanced one inch towards uniformity. What has been the effect of coercion? To make one half the world fools, and the other half hypocrites."[4]

The world needed liberation from the tyranny of Christian clergy who had turned men and women throughout the world into "fools" and "hypocrites", from clerical machinations and subtle "priestcraft" that had sunk the world in a sea of ignorance. Those who were hostile to "liberty" had to be therefore be overcome by those who swore to honor her.

"The clergy...believe that any portion of power confided to me [as President] will be exerted in opposition to their schemes. And they believe rightly: I have sworn upon the altar of God, eternal hostility against every form of tyranny over the mind of man". [5]

James Madison, the "Father of the Constitution", also harbored

hostility for the clergy, "spiritual tyrants" who "subvert the public liberty".

"What influence, in fact, have ecclesiastical establishments had on society? In some instances they have been seen to erect a spiritual tyranny on the ruins of the civil authority; on many instances they have been seen upholding the thrones of political tyranny; in no instance have they been the guardians of the liberties of the people. Rulers who wish to subvert the public liberty may have found an established clergy convenient auxiliaries. A just government, instituted to secure and perpetuate it, needs them not."[6]

On this topic almost all agreed, however, there was a contest for who was worse, was it the Catholic priests or the Protestant ministers who were the biggest enemies of liberty?

"The priesthood have, in all ancient nations, nearly monopolized learning. And ever since the Reformation, when or where has existed a Protestant or dissenting sect who would tolerate A FREE INQUIRY (Adams' own emphasis)? The blackest billingsgate, the most ungentlemanly insolence, the most yahooish brutality, is patiently endured, countenanced, propagated, and applauded."[7]

Nonetheless, it was clearly the Catholics who were the biggest threat to freedom, in England and France, the "monster" had suffered a wound from which Adams did not believe she would recover; the wound was "mortal":

"Cabalistic Christianity, which is catholic (sic) Christianity, and which has prevailed for 1,500 years, has received a mortal wound, of which the monster must finally die. Yet so strong is his constitution, that he may endure for centuries before he expires."[8]

Because the monster had not yet expired, it was clear what the

## revolution was about:

"The question before the human race is, Whether the **God of nature** Shall govern the World by his own laws, or Whether Priests and Kings Shall rule it by fictitious Miracles? Or, in other Words, whether Authority is originally in the People? or whether it has descended for 1800 Years in a Succession of Popes and Bishops, or **brought down from Heaven by the holy Ghost** in **the form of a Dove**, in a Phyal of holy Oil?"[9]

Clearly, the god of nature is not the Holy Trinity. Jesus, the Second Person of the Trinity established a church (which the key Framers declared war against) and promulgated a new Law, which He also entrusted to His her to guard as the "pillar and support of truth" (<u>1 Timothy 3:15</u>) and as the light of the world (<u>Matt. 5: 14-16</u>). Jesus also referred to Himself as the "Light of the world" (John 8:12). Since He also referred to the church as His Body (<u>I Corinthians 1:12-27</u>; <u>Romans 12: 4-5</u>; <u>Colossians 1:24</u> and in at least 19 other places); it is clear that Jesus is the head (<u>Colossians 1:18</u>) and the Church is His Body; head and body are one mystical person: Together God and His Church are both the light of the world because they are one Body. <u>The Church is also the "city set on the hilltop</u>" to give light to the world and as the pillar of truth, commissioned to teach all nations (<u>Matt 28:18-20</u>).

Apparently, the "Founding Fathers" were free thinking revolutionaries (as well be shown in the following two Intelligence Reports) who had a problem with the Church's authority AS LIGHT OF THE WORLD, TEACHER OF NATIONS AND GUARDIAN OF THE LAWS OF GOD. Likewise, SOME Protestants have no problem saying that the Catholic Church is heretical because Catholics call priests "father" when scripture clearly says to "call no one on earth your father" (Matt 23:9). Yet, most of them have no problem calling men like Jefferson, Madison and Franklin their "Founding Fathers" and they also seem to neglect the scriptural fact that the Apostle <u>Paul referred to himself as "father" (1 Corinthians 4:15)</u>. and that God commands us to honor our "mother" and "father".

Men such as these unite themselves to the Founding Fathers who set themselves in opposition to the Church as the city on a hilltop and as the light of the world. They received plenty of support for these radical ideas by deferring to the people who were roused by the British tyranny, by severe mercantile trade restrictions and by political oppression (what the Christian people en banc failed to see clearly was that the church was thrown into the mix but disguised by the veneer of "nature's God). Thus, what we are about to learn below is not surprising: The "Founding Fathers'" were in the process of founding or establishing a "new order of the ages" in which the Church would be prohibited from pubic affairs (not allowed in the court rooms, not allowed in the congress, not allowed in the senate, not allowed in the schools, not allowed in the post office not on public property, not allowed in the centers of political power and law making.... not allowed, not allowed, not allowed), from law making, and any type of political discourse while the Framers set themselves up as the **lawgivers**; thereby making themselves and the people, not God and His Church, the final source of light and arbiter of truth. Jefferson concurred with Adams' thoughts; and then furthered them by insisting that the teachings of Jesus, the "purest system of morals ever preached to mankind", had been perverted by men like St. Paul the "great Coryphaeus, and **first corrupter of the doctrines of Jesus**"[10]. Afterward, the popes and bishops mutilated and adulterated the scriptures thereby turning them into "impious heresies":

" [A] short time elapsed after the death of the great reformer of the Jewish religion (Jesus Christ) before his principles were departed from by those who professed to be his special servants (the apostles), and perverted into an engine for enslaving mankind (the church perverted Jesus' teachings to enslave mankind), and aggrandizing their (mankind's) oppressors in Church and State (priests and kings); that the purest system of morals ever before preached to man, has been adulterated and sophisticated by artificial constructions, into a mere contrivance to filch wealth and power to themselves (priests and kings); that rational men (like Jefferson and other founders) not being able to swallow their impious heresies (the clergy's), in order to force them down their throats, they (the clergy) raise the hue and cry of infidelity, while themselves are the greatest obstacles to the advancement of the real doctrines of Jesus, and do in fact constitute the real Anti-Christ."[11]

Jefferson and Adams et al would be the final arbiters of truth not the apostles, not their successors, nor the Catholic and Protestant clergy, but the writers of the constitution ratified by the people were setting themselves up as the arbiters of truth in order to set men free from the tyranny of the Christian Church and Her clergy. Adams had drawn up the battle lines between the "God of nature" and the God who had established the Catholic Church, and by inference, her separated brothers, and sisters in the Protestant Churches to teach the nations, to be the pillar of truth and the light to the world. By defending the liberal doctrine that authority originates with the people (it is "not brought down from heaven by the power of the Holy Ghost"), Adams fell on the side of nature and popular sovereignty heretically enshrined in the Constitution. Adams and Jefferson denied Jesus' own teaching that all authority comes down from above (John 19:11) and then they denied the explicit teaching of the Old Testament and of St. Paul, whom the dismissed as they "great Coryphaeus", that all authority comes from the God, not the god of nature but the God of Abraham , Issac, and Jacob, from the Holy Trinity whom scripture acknowledges as the source of all power and authority-no where does scripture say that power or authority comes from the people.

"LORD, God of our ancestors, are you not God in heaven, and do you not rule over all the kingdoms of the nations? In your hand is power and might, and no one can withstand you" <u>(2</u> <u>Chronicles 20:6)</u>.

"Let every person be subordinate to the higher authorities, for there is no authority except from God, and those that exist have been established by God. Therefore, whoever resists authority opposes what God has appointed, and those who oppose it will bring judgment upon themselves" <u>(Romans</u> <u>13:1-2)</u>.

All power belongs to God as does the right to make laws for the governance of the universe – they all belong to God and come from Him:

The eleven disciples went to Galilee, to the mountain to which Jesus had ordered them. When they saw him, they worshiped, but they doubted. Then Jesus approached and said to them, "All power in heaven and on earth has been given to me" (Matt 28: 16-18).

After the resurrection, there is no legitimate power except from Jesus. Power from on high can be justly transferred to rulers *through* the people, in which case, the case of an authentic democracy or republic, the people can rightly legitimize the person or persons on whom power is conferred, *but they have no right or authority* to alter the laws of God by contrary laws that exclude both the Holy Trinity and Divine Precepts from public affairs and institute secular law in their place. By referring to the Christian Church, the Bride of Christ, as "Antichrist", they were also dismissing Her Divine Spouse who established Her, not America or any other nation, as "light of the nations". According to Adams and Jefferson, Jesus is not a Divine Person-He is not God (see Intelligence Reports 3-5-6). Thus, it is not surprising that they thought it their sacred duty, the duty of the revolution, to undertake the initial final steps to finish off the wounded "monster", His Bride, who "must finally die" and whose God-given authority must be ridiculed, publicly stripped, and then, contrary to God's own decree, transferred to the new secular state that they were establishing—the new state that has,for example, appropriated to itself power over education, marriage, birth, the Sabbath to name a few — these are not things that belong to Caesar (Matt 12:17):

"And Jesus answering, said to them: Render therefore to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's."

Some vital "things of God" (marriage and its mystical meaning, Sabbath recognition, dignity of life teachings, education) have been stripped from the Church, just as Christ has been rejected as the cornerstone. Interestingly, these two scripture passages about rejection of the cornerstone and giving to God what belongs to God, occur together in Mark's Gospel (Chapter 12) and in the Gospel of Matthew (Chapter 22) in which we find rejection of the Messiah and failure to make a proper distinction between the "things of God" and "things of Caesar" presented together in on passage - rejecting Jesus and rejecting His Church and her authority are connected, as they are in these gospels. No one can be united to Christ unless they are part of his body, the Church. If the distinction between Caesar and his state and God and His Church is not maintained, the state will soon enough reject the proposition that sacred things such as marriage belong to the Church and therefore can be abrogated and reassigned to secular authorities. Below is Mark's version, if you check Matthews, the theme is repeated, *albeit* in different words:

'Have you not read this scripture passage: The stone that the builders rejected has become the cornerstone; by the Lord has this been done, and it is wonderful in our eyes'

(Mark12:10)?...They sent some Pharisees and Herodians to him to ensnare him in his speech... Is it lawful to pay the census tax to Caesar or not? Should we pay or should we not pay?" Knowing their hypocrisy he said to them, "Why are you testing me? Bring me a denarius to look at."They brought one to him and he said to them, "Whose image and inscription is this?" They replied to him, "Caesar's." So Jesus said to them, "Repay to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to God what belongs to God" (Mark 12:17).

Jesus being rejected as the cornerstone of the New Israel, His Church and Mystical Body, is synonymous with her spiritual legitimacy or authority being rejected and then pilfered by the state - things such as marriage, dignity of life teachings leading to abortion legislation, education, abrogation of God's law, power and authority (sovereignty) these things do not belong to Caesar! They belong to God and they belong to His Church, which the founders were in the process of rejecting along with Her Cornerstone, Jesus Himself. It is not possible to have one without the other, that is, it is not possible to have Jesus without His Church. They are one person; the Church is the body and Christ is the head of the body (Colossians 1:18) (Ephesians 5:29-30). and the Church is His bride (2 Christ is the groom <u>Corinthians 11:23) (Ephesians 5:27-32) (Rev 19:7) – in</u> marriage they are made one. To speak of one is to speak of the other. Thus, whatever is done to one is done to the other!

"Whoever listens to you listens to me. Whoever rejects you rejects me. And whoever rejects me rejects the one who sent me" (Luke 10:16).

"Whoever receives you receives me, and whoever receives me receives the one who sent me" (Matt 10:40).

'Amen, I say to you, whatever you did for one of these least brothers of mine, you did for me" (Matt 25:40).

But in the minds of men like Franklin, Adams and Jefferson, *et al*, the Church, the mystical Body of Christ, was "Cabalistic" and therefore clearly the "Antichrist who could, and should, therefore be "rejected" just as they had rejected the divinity of Christ and reduced Him to a "great teacher of morality". It seems that in calling the Church "Antichrist, they were unaware that they were fulfilling scripture (Matt 10:25). Whatever happens to Christ happens ton His Church and whatever happens to the Church happens to Christ; they are simply inseparable as man and wife are inseparable (Matt 19:5) (Mark 10:8). Men such as the Founders who rejected Christ's Church cannot have Christ as they claimed. No, in rejecting the Church they rejected Christ too. The bottom line is: Men such as these did not know God!

"Remember the word I spoke to you,<sup>\*</sup> 'No slave is greater than his master.' If they persecuted me, they will also persecute you. If they kept my word, they will also keep yours. And they will do all these things to you on account of my name, because they do not know the one who sent me" (John 15: 20-21).

Given what we have learned in this series of Intelligence Reports, it is becoming quite clear where the cabal lies and who the "Antichrist" is likely to be.

## GO TO NEXT PART TWO: LADY LIBERTY

## ENDNOTES

[1] Letter to H. Niles (1818).

[2] *ibid*.

[3] Thomas Jefferson (March 17,1814) Letter to Horatio G. Spafford,

[4] Thomas Jefferson, Notes on the State of Virginia: https://www.monticello.org/site/jefferson/christian-god-threeheaded-monsterquotation

[5] Letter to Dr. Benjamin Rush, September 23, 1800

[6] James Madison (1785) "A Memorial and Remonstrance".

[7] John Adams, Letter to John Taylo

[8] John Adams, (July 16, 1814) Letter to Thomas Jefferson.

[9] John Adams, (June 20, 1815) Letter to Thomas Jefferson

[10] Thomas Jefferson (April 13, 1820) *Letter to William Short* 

[11] Thomas Jefferson (1810) Letter to Samuel Kercheval