

Let's Say The Russians Did Hack the DNC – What Does that Tell Us?

THERE SEEMS TO BE SOME EVIDENCE confirming the allegation that Democratic email accounts were compromised by phishing private information such as passwords and usernames. But there is no conclusive evidence that demonstrates the Russian government is responsible. The evidence is derived from independent researchers and private cyber firms such as Crowd Street and Fire Eye. Unfortunately, the evidence is compromised by the use of qualifiers such as “possibly”, “appears”, “indicates” etc. The evidence is based on a series of possible suppositions.

Together, the Office of the Director of National Intelligence and the Department of Homeland Security released a joint statement implicating the Russian government. They stated that:

“The U.S. Intelligence Community (USIC) is confident that the Russian Government directed the recent compromises of e-mails from US persons and institutions, including from US political organizations. The recent disclosures of alleged hacked e-mails on sites like DCLeaks.com and WikiLeaks and by the Guccifer 2.0 online persona are consistent with the methods and motivations of Russian-directed efforts. These thefts and disclosures are intended to interfere with the US election process.”

According to [Sam Biddle](#):

“If the U.S. Intelligence Community is asking the American

electorate to believe them, to accept as true their claim that our most important civic institution was compromised by a longtime geopolitical nemesis, we need them to show us why."

"The U.S. intelligence community must make its evidence against Russia public if they want us to believe their claims. The integrity of our presidential elections is vital to the country's survival; blind trust in the CIA is not."

From Newera's perspective, the Intelligence Community need not produce its evidence; the Intelligence Community along with the Executive Branch are implicated in at least three ways that, in this case will "come back to bite them". Recently, almost every foreign policy maneuver has backfired with unintended and disastrous consequences that were not forecasted by the American Intelligence Community. For example, the attempt to nation build in Iraq following the Gulf War led to a foreign policy debacle in which Iran and Iraq became allies much to US consternation creating a crisis in the Middle East, which is still unfolding contrary to American Foreign Projections. A similar thing happened in Egypt when a *cuop* to overthrow President Mubarek led to an unintended rise of the Muslim Brotherhood when Mohamed Morsi became the first democratically elected head of state in Egyptian history. This was not expected and contrary to American initiatives in Egypt, so Morsi had to go. US foreign policy is backfiring in Iran and in Syria as well.

The accusation that Russia is responsible for interfering in US elections that indirectly assisted Trump will also backfire; it will backfire because the United States is employing outworn intelligence models as explained in "[*The Necessity of Theopolitics*](#)". The neo-con and neo-liberal expectations and assumptions are no longer working so well

because the world is on the cusp of a momentous change as explained in many of our articles.

WHY WILL THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST RUSSIA BACKFIRE?

The allegations, even if they are true, and for sake of a strong case, let's presume they are true, will falter for at least three reasons.

First, the CIA and American Intelligence Communities report that America's cyber security was hacked is devastating, devastating for the executive branch, for President Obama and the Intelligence Community itself. **It means that the Russians beat us and are beating us; it means that the nation is not safe under President Obama.** Both Secretary of State and presidential-nominee, Hilary Clinton and Barack Obama have failed to keep America safe and secure. The Intelligence community is being out-played, out-maneuvered and out-smarted by the Russians whom we are led to believe, otherwise, are way behind us. Not so, if the allegations are true. If they are true, it is an explicit admission of American inferiority and the failure of the Democrats to keep America safe. It works against the Democrats, not in their favor.

Second, If the allegations are true and the Democrats keep raising their voices louder and louder in a crescendo of defiance, it further manifests to Americans increasingly upset with main stream propaganda, with the deceit and misinformation, it further **manifests to Americans the extent to which Democrats prefer lies to truth. They prefer that Clinton gets elected to Americans being told the truth.** They are upset because the truth about Hilary could not remain hidden, that Americans actually learned the truth about her.

It is a lamentable day when Americans have to learn the truth from the Russians because their own politicians lie to them,

because American politicians like Hilary Clinton prefer to cover up their nefarious deeds with deceit and falsehoods.

They are content when Americans believe the deceit, when they are fooled, but extremely upset when they see through it or when it is unexpectedly leaked. Simply stated, Hilary Clinton and her legions prefer falsehood to truth and will continue to deceive the American public as long as they can get away with it.

They are upset with the Russians, but they are more upset because they got caught and are trying to deflect their guilt by projecting it onto the Russians. This is a classical defense-mechanism employed by people blind to their own faults. It is symptomatic of a psychological and sociological or individual and collective hubris, a symptom of arrogance, pride and concupiscence. The proper attitude for a person convicted of sin and error is sorrow and penitence, not militant obstinacy and unjust covering of culpable blame by projecting it elsewhere. Complaint, denial, projection all conspire to reveal the extent that some politicians love a lie, are complicit in lying, and will continue to prevaricate if they attain office. The worst thing imaginable for such a person or persons is being apprehended; it is not the commission of evil deeds and wrapping them in deceit. The proof is in the response to being caught; the Clinton response is blind in its arrogance – major backfire; the old tricks are not working so well anymore. America is waking up.

Finally, **the third reason** that trying to implicate the Russians will fail is the **hypocrisy** of it all. By this point, most people are aware that it is common US foreign policy to interfere in the elections of other countries. For the Democrats to raise a tremor about presumed Russian interference indicates the height of arrogance and their blind hypocrisy. Why did the United States “bomb the hell” out of Syria for years. The Obama and Clinton administration did not like the results of the Syrian elections, the fact that the

Syrian people overwhelmingly preferred Assad to their meddling and re-elected him in 2014.

If the United States could not effect the outcome of an election in Syria by a major military intervention but all the Russians have to do is hack a few computers to send the Democrats to the gallows, what does that say about their allegations?

The United States did far more than engineer cyber leaks, they raised an army of terrorists backed by American military might and proceeded to obliterate a nation from the face of the earth; they did the same in Libya, in Iraq, in Grenada and elsewhere.

According to pro-American and pro-Liberal [Washington Post](#)

“The United States does have a well-documented history of interfering and sometimes interrupting the workings of democracies elsewhere. It has [occupied and intervened militarily](#) in a whole swath of countries in the Caribbean and Latin America and fomented coups against [democratically elected populists](#).”

“The most infamous episodes include the ousting of Iranian [Prime Minister Mohammed Mossadegh in 1953](#)— whose government was replaced by an authoritarian monarchy favorable to Washington — the removal and assassination of Congolese leader Patrice Lumumba in 1961, and the violent toppling of socialist Chilean President Salvador Allende, whose government was swept aside in 1973 by a military coup led by the ruthless Gen. Augusto Pinochet.”

“In 1954, Washington unseated Guatemala’s left-wing president, Jacobo Arbenz, who had had the temerity to

challenge the vast control of the United Fruit Co., a U.S. corporation, with agrarian laws that would be fairer to Guatemalan farmers. The CIA went on to install and back a series of right-wing dictatorships that brutalized the impoverished nation for almost half a century."

In 1970 Henry Kissinger opined:

"I don't see why we need to stand by and watch a country go communist due to the irresponsibility of its own people. "

According to the Huffington Post;

"Nixon administration documents declassified in the 1990s reveal that under Kissinger's leadership, the U.S. security and intelligence apparatus aided General Augusto Pinochet's 1973 military coup that toppled Allende's government...The Pinochet government detained and tortured more than 40,000 people from 1973 to 1990 and murdered over 3,000, according to estimates from the current Chilean government."

In Short, according to Peter Kornbluh Director of the National Security Archive,

"The United States is only getting a taste of its own medicine." The United States is guilty of a "long pattern of ...manipulation, bribery and covert operations to influence the political trajectory of countless countries around the world."

This is hypocrisy. Hypocrites cannot lead a nation. Hypocrisy disqualifies persons from leadership because hypocrites are "blind guides.

*"Woe to you scribes and Pharisees, **hypocrites**; because you go round about the sea and the land to make one proselyte; and when he is made, you make him the child of hell twofold more*

than yourselves. Woe to you **blind guides**" ([Matthew 23:15](#)).

The collapse of global liberalism is imminent; everything is conspiring against it, it is breathing its last breathes that is why it is in consternation, which means that everything it attempts to advance is nefarious agenda, every lie it once told successfully, is now turned against it and crushing its head.

[The Virgin Mary has confirmed this global reality](#). In the most [recently approved apparition](#) in the town of Rosario, Argentina, the [Blessed Virgin Mary told eighty year old Gladys Quiroga de Motta](#):

*"The prince of evil spills today his poison with all the forces, because **he sees that he is concluding his sad reign. He has little left, his end is near.**"*

The collapse of global liberalism is part of the overall collapse of the Kingdom of Pride to be replaced by a Civilization of Love during an Era of Peace. The Venerable Mary of Agreda captured the essence of this ontological and social reality very well when she spoke of the fall of Adam and Eve, which was witnessed by Satan. Unlike Hilary Clinton and the liberal establishment who are covering up their lies, complaining and projecting, Adam and Eve, as all of God's children, admitted their sin and faults, manifested contrition, and asked for forgiveness.:

*"But he (Satan) soon fell from his proud boasting, when he saw, contrary to his expectations, how kindly the **merciful love of God** dealt with the delinquents (Adam and Eve), and how He offered them **a chance of doing penance by giving them hope of pardon and return of grace**. Moreover he saw how they were disposing themselves toward this forgiveness by sorrow and contrition, and how the beauty of grace was restored to them. When the demons perceived the effect of contrition, all*

*hell was again in confusion. His consternation grew, when he heard the sentence, which God pronounced against the guilty ones, in which he himself was implicated. More especially and above all was he tormented by the repetition of that threat: **The Woman shall crush thy head** (Gen. 3, 15), which he had already heard in heaven."*

If what neo-con and neo-liberal globalists are saying about the Russians is true, they have left America unsafe, have been defeated in cyber wars, and have compromised American security; they prefer lies to truth and are upset when the truth about them is revealed. Above all, in their arrogance, they are acting like hypocrites, whom Jesus refers to as "blind guides", a blindness that disqualifies them from leadership. If they expect forgiveness, they must be contrite, not arrogant; tell the truth, not stifle it; ask for forgiveness, not project their error onto someone else. Since this is unlikely, liberalism will continue to implode as the Queen Mother of God stated: *"The prince of evil...sees that he is concluding his sad reign. He has little left, his end is near."*