

Trump Presidency to be Met by Resistance from Liberal Leaders and their Minions

ALL OVER THE WORLD CHRISTIAN affiliated political parties committed to traditional moral values, to economic fairness, and the securing of indigenous cultural patrimonies (being challenged by rampant global liberalism), are making their voices heard and their political clout felt. Such new parties are to be found in France, Greece, Poland, Hungary, the Philippines, Nigeria, Uganda, Malaysia, Russia and Slovakia to name the most prevalent (Scroll Down to [“Fast Track to Truth” at newera.news](#)). A similar occurrence is taking place in the United States. Although the Republican Party is by no means a new party, it has activated a previously uninvolved group of white rural married (presumably Christian men or men rooted in traditional moral values) who, like people worldwide, have had enough of the global liberal agenda and are therefore rising in, what the *New York Times* refers to as a “stunning repudiation of the establishment”. These previously latent men have made their voice heard; that voice was the unexpected and decisive factor in the election of Donald Trump to the presidency with the expectation that the president-elect will take the country back from *those few among* the rich and powerful who are misusing their blessings to advance a rebellious clash with Western culture.

“The [Reuters/Ipsos early exit poll](#) found that 75 percent of respondents agreed “America needs a strong leader to take the country back from the rich and powerful.”

Mr. Trump has not yet taken the Oval office and he is already

being warned by the aberrant rich and powerful that liberals worldwide are going to raise their guns in opposition. First, he was warned by Hilary Clinton in her concession speech in which she promised a peaceful transition in the best American tradition. Apparently, her showing up to make a concession speech, rather than resisting a Trump victory and refusing to show at all, is all that can be expected from the Clinton camp – her showing up and being cordial represents her idea of a peaceful transition. Although she did not complain and acted civilly, she wasted no time rallying the troops *against* Trump.

Hilary gracefully accepted defeat, as is expected in America. However, those who are expecting peace are in for quite a surprise. Clinton's idea of a peaceful transition has already been witnessed; it took place on stage this morning – that is, the transition is over. After accepting Trump as president in the morning, it was all out war a few hours later, as she had indicated in her speech.

Her first words were a veiled threat:

“Last night, I congratulated Donald Trump and offered to work with him on behalf of our country. I hope that he will be a successful president for all Americans.”

Yes, Hilary offered to work with Donald, but on the condition that he will be successful for “all” Americans. She gracefully conceded and offered him an open mind and a “chance” to lead.

“Donald Trump is going to be our president. We owe him an open mind and a chance to lead,”

He will be given a chance, a slim chance. If President Elect Trump does not bring “success” to “all” (LGBT language) or should he trespass on any liberal agenda item that Clinton believes is necessary for “progress” she will *not* work with Mr. Trump but against him. She used her speech to rally the troops urging them *not* to join forces with the new president

but to fight on.

"I know we have still not shattered that highest and hardest glass ceiling, but someday, someone will, and hopefully sooner than we might think, right now (double entendre-perhaps)."

Ms. Clinton put Trump on warning: Although she honors the peaceful American transfer of power, she will not remain peaceful should her agenda be challenged. In other words, she is not behind the president at all, nor will she give him any chance to advance new ideas or initiatives if she thinks they oppose her agenda.

"Our constitutional democracy enshrines the peaceful transfer of power and we don't just respect that, we cherish it. It also enshrines other things: the rule of law, the principle that we are equal in rights and dignity, freedom of worship and expression. We respect and cherish these values too and we must defend them."

Hilary is banking on the law and the "rule of law" protecting her and the liberal legion against Mr. Trump; that is why she refers to the "enshrined" rule of law. In other words, Mr. Trump we (the liberal camp) have spent over a hundred years perverting the laws of this country and too bad, you must follow them – so fat chance of success; the LGBT community now has the protection of law. Unfortunately, for Ms. Clinton, with both Houses of Congress and the Presidency in the hands of Republicans backed by a *new cohort of Christian men*, laws are about to change.

Clinton might be right on the topic of equality of human rights and dignity and on freedom of worship, but she is wrong about "expression", which she erroneously tries to sneak in on the coattails of the former correct three. Error does not have any rights. Thus, Pope Pius XI wrote in his encyclical Mit

Brennender Sorge:

“Charity, intelligent and sympathetic towards those even who offend you, does by no means imply a renunciation of the right of proclaiming, vindicating and defending the truth and its implications. The priest’s first loving gift to his neighbors is to serve truth and refute error in any of its (expressed) forms. Failure on this score would be not only a betrayal of God and your vocation, but also an offense against the real welfare of your people and country.

Hilary seems to lack cognizance of the fact that God too is a person and as a Divine Person, he too has rights, Divine Rights:

“It is part of their (the clergy) sacred obligations to do whatever is in their power to enforce respect for, and obedience to, the commandments of God, as these are the necessary foundation of all private life and public morality; to see that the rights of His Divine Majesty, His name and His word be not profaned; to put a stop to the blasphemies, which, in words and pictures, are multiplying like the sands of the desert; to encounter the obstinacy and provocations of those who deny, despise and hate God, by the never-failing reparatory prayers of the Faithful, hourly rising like incense to the All-Highest and staying His vengeance.”

Although error does not have rights, those in error do.

However, one of those rights is *not* the arrogant expression of their error. Arrogant expression of ones ideas and aberrant thoughts cannot be a right because human ideas can obviously be erroneous or sinful, in which case, they conflict with Divine Ideas. When that happens, there is a violation of God’s rights. Natural Law and Divine Law are superior to any human law; unless of course, the United States Constitution is accepted as the supreme law of the land, even higher than the Law of God. Hilary, is banking on this long-standing American

verity; supreme court justices acting under the penumbra of the US Constitution have given error rights. Nonetheless, although error may be tolerated, it does not have an absolute right to exist especially no right to arrogantly exist:

“In the social life of nations, error may be tolerated as a reality, but never allowed as a right. Error “has no right to exist objectively nor to propaganda, nor action” (Pius XII Speech Ci Riesce 1953)

All rights are contingent upon human necessities, on those basic things necessary for life and the pursuit of happiness (food, clothing, shelter, education etc.), on those things that are necessary for human advancement, that is the actualization of human potential for wisdom, understanding, and knowledge as well as growth in love and the moral and theological virtues that help men and women to bear fruit and to live the beatitudes. Unlike items in the liberal; agenda, none of these items conflicts with the mind and will of God as He has made them known in the life and teachings of His Divine Son, Jesus Christ.

Hilary pushes the bar to the extreme. She stated in her concession speech that “our constitutional democracy...demands our participation...so let’s do all we can to keep advancing the values and causes we all hold dear.”

In other words, it is the sworn obligation of democratic government, as understood by Hilary Clinton, to demand the participation of aberrant thoughts, words and ideas in the public forum, in the courts, schools, and halls of congress while those professing Christian ideas must be demanded to remain silent and keep their thoughts, words, and ideas out of the courts, schools, and halls of Congress, (and to themselves) because the constitution, she sites, has erected a wall of separation between church and state – so “three cheers for the Constitution”.

With this type of logic, it is clear – the fight will go on as the liberal cohort continues to defy the laws of God because they believe they have not only a right to voice their errors but also a sacred constitutional right to demand abortion, homosexuality, legalization of drugs, disrespect for authority etc., while any idea rooted in Christianity must be removed from the public forum. Why, because the constitution demands it.

As she tried to sneak in a false right of *expression* upon the coattails of actual rights, she also tried to sneak in protection of the “American Dream” for aberrant LGBT activists on the legitimate coattails of equal protection for of all races and religions, men and women, immigrants and those with disabilities. Following this deviant move, she urged her followers to do everything in their power to advance the LGBT and broader liberal vision.

“We need you to keep up these fights NOW and for the rest of your lives.”

This was the second time Hilary called for a fight “Now”. except, this time, it is not an entendere The result: hours later in liberal bastions across the country, liberal minions took to the streets shouting slogans such as “Racist, sexist, anti-gay Donald Trump Go Away” and “Not our President”:

[SEE NY TIMES VIDEO: Clinton Minions Take to Streets Fighting “Right Now” – Moments after Hillary Called for it in Her Veiled Concession Speech.](#)

But it is not Hilary alone who will challenge the new president, Hilary was joined in the refrain by fellow champion of the liberal cause, German Chancellor Angela Merkle who has already set liberal conditions on her relationship to the new president and by extension to America itself. In her congratulatory communication Merkel stated:

“Germany and America are connected by values of democracy, freedom and respect for the law and the dignity of man, independent of origin, skin color, religion, gender, sexual orientation or political views.... [I offer the next President of the United States close cooperation on the basis of these values.](#)”

Because Trump received no similar cold shoulder from the Prime Minister of England, the Liberal *New York Times* is looking for a new champion and thinks they have found one in Germany:

“He (Trump) received no pushback on Wednesday from Theresa May, the British prime minister, who simply congratulated Mr. Trump on his win. The two leaders’ (May and Merkel) reactions were further proof that, after Britain’s decision to leave the European Union, we will have to look to Mrs. Merkel not just to lead Europe but to replace America in leading NATO as well.”

The liberal ideologues are too filled with hate to reconcile with Christian men and women of good will.

Look anywhere on the social media and you can find liberal hatred being fomented. Quite unlike the Obama win eight years ago when Republicans reluctantly put aside their differences and closed rank around President Obama as expected in the best American tradition, no such thing is happening in 2016. Instead of a hand shake, Trump is receiving a loud FU (see video below).

To bad for the liberals, but they are going to be outplayed by the emerging global forces arrayed against them ([see the “Fast Track to Truth” at newera.news](#)). Trump will find support among the international community to battle the Leviathan of liberalism. All over the world people are rising against the sea of liberal pollution – it is coming to an end and very

soon.

The Mother of God promised at Fatima that Russia would be converted and corollary to that conversion she added ["in the end my Immaculate Heart" will triumph.](#) The Triumph of the Immaculate Heart is underway world wide and misguided souls being led by blind guides, such as Ms. Hilary Clinton, Barack O'bama, and Nancy Pelosi *et al* who are clueless, are, like their guides, totally unaware of what is going on. They are no longer fighting against a mere set of disorganized and muzzled human beings; they are fighting against Divine Providence, which has decreed that the Immaculate Woman and her Divine Son shall triumph over the feculent Prince of Darkness and his enslaved followers ([Gensis 3:15](#) and [Revelation 12:1-6](#)).

S